
Survey Report

In Spring 2009, 250 parents returned surveys for Walnut Creek, representing 28% of students from Walnut Creek (compared to the district Elementary School response, 34%). Figure 1 represents the percentage of respondents with children at Walnut Creek from each ethnic group. The tables below show the total number of surveys Walnut Creek parents returned in 2009-2010, and the percentage of responses and students at Walnut Creek represented by each grade.

0				
	Number of Respondents Walnut Creek			
	# of surveys returned	250		
	# of students	905		
	% of students represented	28		

Figure 1. Percentage of Respondents and Students by Ethnicity for Walnut Creek, 2009-2010



% of students represented by grad % School % of grade population espondents PK 16 14 K 15 14 1st 8 10 14 2nd 13 3rd 14 14 4th 20 13 5th 3 6th n/a 2

Survey results for Walnut Creek Elementary School for the 2009-2010 school year are presented on the next page. Please note the green and red text boxes that highlight specific areas in which Walnut Creek excels, as well as areas in which Walnut Creek can improve. The following websites contain resources and tips on how to improve areas measured with the parent survey.

The US Department of Education http://www.dww.ed.gov/
The National Center for Parental Involvement in Education: http://www.ncpie.org
The Harvard Family Research Project: http://www.hfrp.org/

The appendix provides more detailed information regarding Walnut Creek's parent survey results from 2007-2008 through 2009-2010. Please review the individual items on each subscale with particular attention to how Walnut Creek's average ratings have changed or remained consistent over time. Please note that some subscales have changed from previous years, making longitudinal comparisons inappropriate for some items and subscales. For items and subscales where longitudinal data are available, statistically meaningful changes are flagged with up or down arrows. Please share the results of this report with all campus staff and your Campus Advisory Council to inform campus improvement planning.

The graph below depicts Walnut Creek's parent survey ratings over time, as well as parent survey ratings across all Elementary Schools in 2009-2010. Scores over 3.0 are desirable, as indicated by the red dashed line in the graph below. The first step in improving these characteristics is to examine the score for each area (Figure 2).

Walnut Creek's highest score on the 2009-2010 Parent Survey was Teacher Expectations. This measure was new to the 2009-2010 survey and is designed to measure expectations parents believe that teachers have for their children. Research shows that teacher expectations relate to academic achievement. We encourage your campus to continue fostering a positive learning environment with rigorous teacher expectations for all students.

Respectful School Community		Walnut Creek		All Elementary
respectivi dender dentinarity	2007-08	3 2008-	092009-10	
4. School staff provide me with positive feedback				
about my child.	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.5
5. School staff treat my child with courtesy and			2.5	
respect.	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.5
6. I feel welcome in my child's classroom.	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.6
16. My child's school is a safe learning environment.	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.5
17a. My child's school principal treats me with				
courtesy and respect.	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.5
18a. The school assistant principal(s) treat me with				
courtesy and respect.	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.5
19a.My child's teacher(s) treat me with courtesy and				
respect.	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.6
20a. My child's counselor(s) treat me with courtesy and				
respect.	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.5
21a. Office staff treat me with courtesy and respect.	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.5
23h. School staff provided me with enough information				2.0
about handling complaints and concerns.	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.3
Respectful School Community subscale	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.5

Note: Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Information About Student Expectations	Walnut Creek			All Elementary
and Progress	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	Schools
My child's school staff clearly communicate their expectations for 8. My child's learning. 9. My child's behavior.	3.4 n/a	3.4 3.5	3.6 3.6	3.5 3.5
School staff provide me with enough information about my child's 22a. Academic progress. 22b. Preparedness for TAKS. 22c. Risk of failing a grade. 22d. Availability of tutoring. 23a. Behavior. 23b. Attendance	3.3 3.3 3.2 n/a 3.3 n/a	3.5 1 3.5 1 3.4 1 3.4 3.5 1 n/a	3.6 1 3.5 3.5 1 3.5 1 3.6 1 3.6	3.5 3.5 3.4 3.4 3.5 3.5
Expectations and Progress subscale	n/a	n/a	3.6	3.5

Note: Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

APPENDIX

Academic Planning Information		alnut Creek 2008-09	(2009-10	All Elementary Schools
School staff provide me with enough information about				
23c. After school programs	n/a	3.3	3.6	3.5
23d. Transitions to and from elementary, middle, and high school.	n/a	3.3	3.5	3.3
22e. High school graduation requirements.	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.3
23e. Career opportunities for my child.23f. College admission requirements and	3.1	3.1	3.4	3.3
financing options.	n/a	n/a	3.4	3.2
Academic Planning and Information subscale	n/a	n/a	3.5	3.4

Note: Response options range from 1(strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Teacher Expectations	Walnut Creek 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
11. My child's teachers believe my child can do well in		
school. 12. My child'steachers believe my child can learn new	3.7	3.6
things.	3.7	3.6
13. My child's teachers encourage my child to stick with	3.7	3.0
problems until he/she can solve them.	3.6	3.5
Teacher Expectations subscale	3.7	3.6

Note: These items were new to the 2009-2010 Parent Survey and were designed to measure teacher's expectations for their students. Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Knowledge about child's experiences at school	Walnut Creek 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
11. I believe my child likes to go to school.15. AISD's online ParentConnection system has helped me	3.6	3.6
to monitor my child's progress.	3.5	3.3

Note: These items were new to the 2009-2010 Parent Survey. Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

APPENDIX

Support for Parental Involvement		Valnut Creel	_	All lementary
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	l
7. My child's school staff use the suggestions that I make about my child's education.	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.4
14. My child's teachers make it easy for me to be involved with my child's education.	n/a	n/a	3.6	3.5
17b. My child's principal provides me with opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings, email, etc.).	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.4
18b. My child's assistant principal(s) provide me with opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings, email, etc.).	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4
19b. My child's teacher(s) have helped me become more involved in my child's education.	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.6
19c. My child's teacher(s) value my input in academic decisions about my child.	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6
19d. My child's teacher(s) provide me with opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings, email, etc.).	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.6
20b. My child's counselor(s) have helped me become more involved in my child's education.	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.4
20c. My child's counselor(s) value my input in academic decisions about my child.	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.4
20d. My child's counselor(s) provide me with opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings, email, etc.).	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4
23g. School staff provide me with enough information about opportunities to be involved.	n/a	n/a	3.5	3.4
Support for Parental Involvement subscale	n/a	n/a	3.5	3.5

Note: Items range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree) with a response of at least 3.0 desirable. With the addition of items 14 and 23g in 2009-2010, subscale comparisons across years are no longer possible.

REFERENCES

Fan, X. & Chen, M. (2001). Parental involvement and students' academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 13(1), 1-22.

Austin Independent School District

Superintendent of Schools Meria Carstarphen, Ed.D.

Office of Accountability William Caritj, Ed.D.

Department of Program Evaluation Holly Williams, Ph.D.

Authors Lindsay M. Lamb, Ph.D. Lisa N. T. Schmitt, Ph.D.



Board of Trustees
Mark Williams, President
Vincent Torres, M.S., Vice President
Lori Moya, Secretary
Cheryl Bradley
Annette LoVoi, M.A.
Christine Brister
Robert Schneider
Karen Dulaney Smith
Sam Guzman