


2009-2010 AISD Campus Staff Climate Survey Metz Elementary School

A healthy school climate is characterized by positive relationships among students, all campus staff, and the community. School climate is a key factor in several important outcomes including student achievement, reduced violence, higher morale, and faculty trust (Hoy, Smith, & Sweetland, 2002). More specifically, research in AISD indicates that staff climate survey results are related to student Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) performance in both math and reading (Bush-Richards, Cornetto, & Schmitt, 2008; Schmitt, 2006). The figure below represents how campus climate can facilitate student academic growth.

The image below displays factors that are known to be related to student academic growth. In this report, we focus on Staff Climate (circled in red), which has been measured with seven survey subscales: Teacher Support, Community Engagement, Collegial Leadership, Professional Teacher Behavior, Achievement Press, General Climate and Data Vision.

In Fall 2009, 89% of teachers from Metz responded to the survey. Figure 3 represents the percentage of respondents at Metz (depicted in dark green) and across all Elementary Schools (light blue) who identified themselves as teachers, classified/support staff, or administrators and non-teaching professionals, plus the number who did not specify a staff role. This information will help you to generalize the findings to the rest of your campus community.

Figure 3. Percentage of Respondents at Metz in 2009-10 by group and level



Staff results for Metz for the 2009-10 school year year are highlighted on the next page. Please pay close attention to the call-out boxes that appear in red and green as they highlight specific campus climate areas that Metz can improve, as well as areas in which Metz excels. You may visit the following websites for resources and tips on how to improve campus climate in general, and specific resources for improving the area of Metz’s lowest subscale score are provided on the next page.

- <http://www.schoolclimate.org/climate/council.php>
- <http://www.turningpts.org/pdf/Family.pdf>
- <http://www.schoolsecurity.org/>
- <http://ccsr.uchicago.edu>

The appendix provides you with more detailed information regarding Metz’s campus climate from 2007-08 through 2009-10. Please review the individual items on each subscale with particular attention to how Metz’s average ratings have changed or remained consistent over time. To indicate which changes are most meaningful from year to year, statistically meaningful changes are flagged with up or down arrows. Please share the results of this report with all campus staff and your Campus Advisory Council to inform campus improvement planning.


APPENDIX

Community Engagement Subscale Items	Metz		All Elementary Schools
	2008-09	2009-10	
5. Our school makes an effort to inform the community about our goals and achievement.	3.0	2.8	3.2
9. Our school is able to enlist community support when needed.	2.6	2.5	2.8
20. Teachers feel pressure from the community.	2.6	2.5	3.0
26. Select citizen groups are influential with the board.	2.4	2.4	2.6
31. Community members attend meetings to stay informed about our school.	2.2	2.2	2.6
38. Organized community groups (e.g. PTA, PTO) meet regularly to discuss school issues.	2.5	2.8	3.2
39. School staff are responsive to the needs and concerns expressed by community members.	2.6	2.6	3.0
Community Engagement subscale	2.6	2.6	2.9

Note It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Collegial Leadership Subscale Items	Metz			All Elementary Schools
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	
2. The principal explores all sides of topics and admits that other opinions exist.	3.2	2.9	2.9	2.9
10. The principal puts suggestions made by faculty into operation.	3.1	2.7	2.4	2.8
11. The principal treats all faculty members as his or her equal.	3.2	2.7	2.6	2.9
16. The principal lets faculty know what is expected of them.	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3
18. The principal is willing to make changes.	3.2	2.9	2.7	2.9
22. The principal maintains definite standards for performance.	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3
35. The principal is friendly and approachable.	3.4	2.8	2.7	3.1
Collegial Leadership Subscale	3.3	2.9	2.8	3.0

Note It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

- 
3. The school sets high standards for academic performance.
 6. Teachers in this school believe that their students have the ability to achieve academically.
 7. Parents exert pressure to maintain high standards.
 8. Academic achievement is recognized and acknowledged by the school.





- 50. Student racial tension
- 51. Student bullying
- 52. Widespread disorder in classrooms
- 53. Student acts of disrespect for Teachers
- 54. Student acts of disrespect for Nonteaching Professional or Administrative Staff
- 55. Student acts of disrespect for Classified or Support Staff



To the best of your knowledge, how often do the following events occur at your school?	Metz		2009-10		All Elementary Schools	
	2008-09 No	2008-09 Yes	2009-10 No	2009-10 Yes	No	Yes
58. There is a behavior support team (other than PBS or IMPACT) on my campus.	n/a	n/a	25%	75%	25%	75%
59. I am regularly updated about PBS activities/progress.	n/a	n/a	19%	81%	27%	73%
60. I have used PBS strategies in the classroom/common area.	n/a	n/a	11%	89%	15%	86%
61. I know how to refer students to campus resources such as IMPACT, behavior support specialists, School to Community Liaisons, etc.	6%	75%	7%	92%	8%	92%
62. I feel there is consistent reinforcement of commendable student behavior on my campus.	6%	85%	12%	88%	21%	80%
63. I know how to refer students to external agencies such as Communities in Schools (CIS), Safe Place, etc.	28%	33%	36%	64%	27%	73%
Average Percentage	11%	68%	18%	82%	26%	74%

Data Vision. These two items were new to the survey this year and addressed the extent to which teachers utilize student data in their work. Response options ranged from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (4). High scores indicate higher levels of data vision.

Data Vision	Metz 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
40. There are clear goals and structures for teaching and learning in AISD.	3.1	3.2
41. There is a clear vision for the use of data to inform education in AISD.	3.0	3.1
Total Data Use Subscale	3.1	3.2

Note: It is desirable to have a response of 3.0 or higher.

Teacher Support. These eight items were new to the survey this year and addressed the extent to which teachers feel valued for their contributions on campus, for their hard work and generally supported on their campus. Response options ranged from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (4). High scores indicate higher levels of appreciation.

- 42. My school values my contribution to its well-being.
- 43. My school appreciates my extra effort.

Note: It is desirable to have a response of 3.0 or higher.

REFERENCES

- Bush-Richards, A., Cornetto, K., & Schmitt, L (2008). Summary of 2005-2006 through 2007-2008 AISD staff climate survey results (Publication 07.23). Austin, TX: Austin Independent School District Department of Program Evaluation.
- Hoy, W. K., Smith, P. A., & Sweetland, S. R. (2002). The development of the organizational climate index for high schools: Its measure and relationship to faculty trust. *The High School Journal*, 86, 38-49.
- Schmitt, L. (2006). E-Team report: How does school climate related to academic achievement in AISD, and what can we learn from these relationships? (Publication 06.02). Austin, TX: Austin Independent School District Department of Program Evaluation.
- Schmitt, L., Cornetto, K., & Lamb, L. (2009). Austin ISD 2008-09 board level reports (No. 08.86, 08.87, 08.88). Austin, TX: Austin Independent School District
- Tschannen-Moran, M., Parish, J., & DiPaola, M. F. (2006). School climate: The interplay between interpersonal relationships and student achievement. *Journal of School Leadership*, 16, 386-415.

Austin Independent School District

Superintendent of Schools
Meria Carstarphen, Ed.D.

Office of Accountability
William Caritj, Ed.D.

Department of Program Evaluation
Holly Williams, Ph.D.

Authors
Lindsay M. Lamb, Ph.D.
Lisa N. T. Schmitt, Ph.D.



Board of Trustees
Mark Williams, President
Vincent Torres, M.S., Vice President
Lori Moya, Secretary
Cheryl Bradley
Annette LoVoi, M.A.
Christine Brister
Robert Schneider
Karen Dulaney Smith
Sam Guzman