

2009-2010 AISD Parent Survey Metz Elementary School

Strong relationships among staff, students, and parents are critical to student success. In particular, parent involvement in education is associated with a high level of student achievement (for a meta-analysis see Fan & Chen, 2001). These survey results provide campuses with feedback about how parents feel about their treatment by school staff, and the school's educational environment, and how parents describe their child's education. The following report contains the results of the 2009-2010 Parent Survey for Austin Independent School District (AISD); longitudinal data are provided where applicable.


The image below displays factors that are known to be related to student academic growth. In this report, we focus on the role of parents (circled in red), which has been measured with six survey subscales: Respectful School Community; Information about Student Expectations and Progress; Academic Planning Information; Teacher Expectations; Support for Parental Involvement; and Parental Assistance, Communication and School Involvement.

In Spring 2009, 120 parents returned surveys for Metz, representing 24% of students from Metz (compared to the district Elementary School response, 34%). Figure 1 represents the percentage of respondents with children at Metz from each ethnic group. The tables below show the total number of surveys Metz parents returned in 2009-2010, and the percentage of responses and students at Metz represented by each grade.

Number of Respondents Metz	
# of surveys returned	120
# of students	492
% of students represented	24

% of students represented by grade		
grade	% of respondents	% School population
PK	12	15
K	3	15
1st	19	14
2nd	16	12
3rd	16	17
4th	16	14
5th	16	12
6th	n/a	n/a

Figure 1. Percentage of Respondents and Students by Ethnicity for Metz, 2009-2010



Survey results for Metz Elementary School for the 2009-2010 school year are presented on the next page. Please note the green and red text boxes that highlight specific areas in which Metz excels, as well as areas in which Metz can improve. The following websites contain resources and tips on how to improve areas measured with the parent survey.

The US Department of Education <http://www.dww.ed.gov/>

The National Center for Parental Involvement in Education <http://www.ncpie.org>

The Harvard Family Research Project <http://www.hfrp.org/>

The appendix provides more detailed information regarding Metz's parent survey results from 2007-2008 through 2009-2010. Please review the individual items on each subscale with particular attention to how Metz's average ratings have changed or remained consistent over time. Please note that some subscales have changed from previous years, making longitudinal comparisons inappropriate for some items and subscales. For items and subscales where longitudinal data are available, statistically meaningful changes are flagged with up or down arrows. Please share the results of this report with all campus staff and your Campus Advisory Council to inform campus improvement planning.


The graph below depicts Metz’s parent survey ratings over time, as well as parent survey ratings across all Elementary Schools in 2009-2010. Scores over 3.0 are desirable, as indicated by the red dashed line in the graph below. The first step in improving these characteristics is to examine the score for each area (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Parent Survey Subscales for Metz and all Elementary Schools, 2009-2010


Note. The light blue bars represent 2009-2010 data for Metz and the dark blue bars represent 2009-2010 data for all Elementary Schools.

Metz’s highest score on the 2009-2010 Parent Survey was Teacher Expectations. This measure was new to the 2009-2010 survey and is designed to measure expectations parents believe that teachers have for their children. Research shows that teacher expectations relate to academic achievement. We encourage your campus to continue fostering a positive learning environment with rigorous teacher expectations for all students.



Metz’s lowest score on the 2009-2010 Parent Survey was Parental



4. School staff provide me with positive feedback about my child.



APPENDIX

Academic Planning Information	2007-08	Metz 2008-09	2009-10	All Elementary Schools
School staff provide me with enough information about...				
23c. After school programs	n/a	3.4	3.4	3.5
23d. Transitions to and from elementary, middle, and high school.	n/a	3.2	3.3	3.3
22e. High school graduation requirements.	3.4 	3.4	3.4	3.3
23e. Career opportunities for my child.	3.0	3.1	3.3 	3.3
23f. College admission requirements and financing options.	n/a	n/a	3.3	3.2
Academic Planning and Information subscale	n/a	n/a	3.4	3.4

Note: Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.


Teacher Expectations	Metz 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
11. My child's teachers believe my child can do well in school.	3.5	3.6
12. My child's teachers believe my child can learn new things.	3.5	3.6
13. My child's teachers encourage my child to stick with problems until he/she can solve them.	3.4	3.5
Teacher Expectations subscale	3.5	3.6

Note: These items were new to the 2009-2010 Parent Survey and were designed to measure teacher's expectations for their students. Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Knowledge about child's experiences at school	Metz 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
11. I believe my child likes to go to school.	3.5	3.6
15. AISD's online ParentConnection system has helped me to monitor my child's progress.	3.3	3.3

Note: These items were new to the 2009-2010 Parent Survey. Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

APPENDIX



7. My child's school staff use the suggestions that I make about my child's education.

14. My child's teachers make it easy for me to be involved with my child's education.

17b. My child's principal provides me with opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings, email, etc.).

18b. My child's assistant principal(s) provide me

APPENDIX

Parental Assistance, Communication, and School Involvement	Metz 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
24. Talk with my child about his/her school day.	3.9	3.9
25. Supervise my child's homework.	3.9	3.9
26. Help my child study for tests.	3.8	3.7
27. Talk with other parents about my child's school.	2.8	3.0
28. Communicate with my child's teachers (e.g., telephone, email, notes, in person).	3.3	3.4
29. Volunteer at my child's school.	2.5	2.5
30. Attend PTA/CAC meetings.	2.5	2.5
31. Attend regularly scheduled parent-teacher conferences.	3.7	3.7
32. Attend annual meetings about my child's academic plans.	3.4	3.3
33. Visit my child's school (e.g., for lunch, walk them to class, observe).	3.3	3.3
34. Attend performance events and/or sports events at my child's school.	3.2	3.3
Parental Involvement subscale	3.3	3.3

Note: These items were new to the 2009-2010 parent survey and are designed to measure the degree of parental involvement on your campus. Response options range from 1 (never) to 4 (often). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Parent Support Specialist	Metz 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
35. I use the Parent Support Specialist as a resource.	2.1	2.4
36. The Parent Support Specialist helps me to be involved in my child's education.	2.2	2.6
37. The Parent Support Specialist on my campus is accessible during a variety of times (e.g., before school, during school hours, after school, in the evenings, on the weekend).	2.5	2.8
Parent Support Specialist subscale	2.3	2.6

Note: These items were new to the 2009-2010 parent survey and are designed to measure the degree to which parents use their school's parent support specialist (if applicable). Response options range from 1 (never) to 4 (often). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

REFERENCES

Fan, X. & Chen, M. (2001). Parental involvement and students' academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 13(1), 1-22.

Austin Independent School District

Superintendent of Schools
Meria Carstarphen, Ed.D.

Office of Accountability
William Caritj, Ed.D.

Department of Program Evaluation
Holly Williams, Ph.D.

Authors
Lindsay M. Lamb, Ph.D.
Lisa N. T. Schmitt, Ph.D.



Board of Trustees
Mark Williams, President
Vincent Torres, M.S., Vice President
Lori Moya, Secretary
Cheryl Bradley
Annette LoVoi, M.A.
Christine Brister
Robert Schneider
Karen Dulaney Smith
Sam Guzman