## TENULIS

## LINDER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

_	2005-2006 # of Linder EL Respondents	2006-2007 # of Linder EL Respondents	2007-2008 # of Linder EL Respondents	2007-2008 # of All EL Respondents
Teacher	40	47	28	2786
Administrator or Other Non-Teaching Professional	6	5	4	373
Classified/Support Staff	5	14	2	773
Unspecified	3	9	1	288
Total	54	75	35	4,220

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effect sizes (Cohen's d) were calculated using the means from 2006-2007 and 2007-2008. Effect sizes are a measure of the magnitude of the difference between two means. Mean differences were flagged as meaningful where d .18.

## LINDER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STAFF CLIMATE SURVEY RESULTS

Table 2. Subscale Scores for OCI and Additional Subscales

	Overall Climate	External Influences	Collegial Leadership	Professional Teacher Behavior	Achievement Press	General Climate	Positive Behavior Support	Safety
Linder EL 2005-06	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Note: It is desirable to have an average response of at least 3.0, indicated in **bold** type. <sup>a</sup>Item was reverse-scored such that a response of "Rarely Occurs" was scored as a 4. á â indicate increases and decreases from the previous year.



year.

Achievement Press. This subscale consists of 8 items that describe the extent to which the school has set high but achievable academic standards and goals. Achievement press is marked by students who persist, strive to achieve, and are respected by each other and teachers for their academic successes. In addition, this subscale measures the extent to which parents, teachers, and principals exert pressure for high standards and school improvement.

Table 6. Results for Achievement Press

	Linder EL Avg 2005-06	Linder EL Avg 2006-07	Linder EL Avg 2007-08	All EL Average 2007-08
2. The school sets high standards for academic performance.	*	3.30	3.33	3.47
5. Teachers in this school believe that their students have the ability to achieve academically.	*	3.18	3.21	3.40
6. Parents exert pressure to maintain high standards.	*	2.07	1.77â	2.38
7. Academic achievement is recognized and acknowledged by the school.	*	3.06	2.86â	3.26
12. Parents press for school improvement.	*	1.99	1.56â	2.24
14. Students in this school can achieve the goals that have been set for them.	*	2.89	2.82	3.11
18. Students respect others who get good grades.	2.85	2.91	2.52â	2.96
24. Students seek extra work so they can get good grades.	1.98	2.10	2.00	2.13
31. Students try hard to improve on previous work.	2.42	2.72	2.58â	2.67
33. The learning environment is orderly and serious.	3.22	3.06	2.77â	3.08
Achievement Press Subscale	*	2.75	2.59â	2.87

*General Climate.* In addition to the OCI items, the survey also included 7 climate items that measure the extent to which all campus staff are friendly towards each other and work towards clear, common goals.

Table 7. Results for General Climate

	Linder EL Avg 2006-07	Linder EL Avg 2007-08	All EL Average
23. Campus staff are friendly to each other.	3,20	2.97â	2007-08 3.29
26. Campus staff exhibit pride in their	3.20	2.970	3.29
affiliation with the school.	2.86	2.64â	3.14
27. Campus staff are willing to go out of their way to help.	3.01	2.76â	3.20
28. Campus staff accomplish their jobs with enthusiasm.	2.97	2.78â	3.06
29. Campus staff are committed to their jobs.	3.33	<b>3.00</b> â	3.31
36. The goals of my school are made clear.	3.25	2.94â	3.27
37. AISD works toward common goals.	2.94	2.74â	2.84
<b>General Climate Subscale</b>	3.08	2.95	3.17

Note: It is desirable to have an average response of at least 3.0, indicated in **bold** type. <sup>a</sup>Item was reverse-scored such that a response of "Rarely Occurs" was scored as a 4. á â indicate increases and decreases from the previous year.



The first step in improving campus climate	is to look at your school's score for each of the
climate subscales (shown in Table 2 on page	e 2). These will help you to identify areas where