

## 2009-2010 AISD Campus Staff Climate Survey International High School

A healthy school climate is characterized by positive relationships among students, all campus staff, and the community. School climate is a key factor in several important outcomes including student achievement, reduced violence, higher morale, and faculty trust (Hoy, Smith, & Sweetland, 2002). More specifically, research in AISD indicates that staff climate survey results are related to student Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) performance in both math and reading (Bush-Richards, Cornetto, & Schmitt, 2008; Schmitt, 2006). The figure below represents how campus climate can facilitate student academic growth.

The image below displays factors that are known to be related to student academic growth. In this report, we focus on Staff Climate (circled in red), which has been measured with seven survey subscales: Teacher Support, Community Engagement, Collegial Leadership, Professional Teacher Behavior, Achievement Press, General Climate and Data Vision.

In Fall 2009, 73% of teachers from International responded to the survey. Figure 3 represents the percentage of respondents at International (depicted in dark green) and across all High Schools (light blue) who identified themselves as teachers, classified/support staff, or administrators and non-teaching professionals, plus the number who did not specify a staff role. This information will help you to generalize the findings to the rest of your campus community.

Figure 3. Percentage of Respondents at International in 2009-10 by group and level

Staff results for International for the 2009-10 school year year are highlighted on the next page. Please pay close attention to the call-out boxes that appear in red and green as they highlight specific campus climate areas that International can improve, as well as areas in which International excels. You may visit the following websites for resources and tips on how to improve campus climate in general, and specific resources for improving the area of International's lowest subscale score are provided on the next page.

<http://www.schoolclimate.org/climate/council.php>

<http://www.turningpts.org/pdf/Family.pdf>

<http://www.schoolsecurity.org/>

<http://ccsr.uchicago.edu>

The appendix provides you with more detailed information regarding International's campus climate from 2007-08 through 2009-10. Please review the individual items on each subscale with particular attention to how International's average ratings have changed or remained consistent over time. To indicate which changes are most meaningful from year to year, statistically meaningful changes are flagged with up or down arrows. Please share the results of this report with all campus staff and your Campus Advisory Council to inform campus improvement planning.

The graphs below depict International's staff climate ratings over time, as well staff climate ratings across all High Schools in 2009-10. Scores over 3.0 are desirable, as indicated by the red dashed line in the graphs below. The first step in improving campus climate is to examine the score for each area (Figure 2).


For 2009-10, International staff rated **General Climate** the highest of all climate areas. Alternatively, International staff rated **Community Engagement** the lowest of all climate areas. In the appendix, you will find the individual items that make up **General Climate** and **Community Engagement**. Examine these items and consider possible areas for campus improvement. Make note of any item with an arrow, which indicates an average rating that is meaningfully higher or lower than that of the previous year. Discuss these areas of strength and challenge with staff, and note the ways in which initiatives on campus have addressed or could address these issues during the current or next school year.

Figure 2. Campus Climate Subscales for International from 2007-08 through 2009-10



International's highest score on the 2009-10 staff climate survey was General Climate, which measures general work attitudes and affiliation among all campus staff. High levels of General Climate are often associated with academic achievement

Figure 3. Campus Climate Subscales for International and all High Schools, 2009-10



International's lowest subscale score on the 2009-10 staff climate survey was Community Engagement, which measures a school's relationship with its community, parental involvement, and the degree to which the school provides the community with information about its accomplishments. Community Engagement has been found to contribute to both academic achievement and teacher engagement. For more resources on Community Engagement, please visit <http://www.turningpts.org/pdf/Family.pdf>

*Note.* The blue bars represent 2009-10 data for all High Schools and the green bars represent 2009-10 data for International High School.


## APPENDIX

Community Engagement Subscale Items	International		All High Schools
	2008-09	2009-10	
5. Our school makes an effort to inform the community about our goals and achievement.	2.8	3.0	3.1
9. Our school is able to enlist community support when needed.	2.5	2.6	2.8
20. Teachers feel pressure from the community.	2.6	2.6	2.9
26. Select citizen groups are influential with the board.	2.5	2.4	2.6
31. Community members attend meetings to stay informed about our school.	2.5	2.3	2.5
38. Organized community groups (e.g. PTA, PTO) meet regularly to discuss school issues.	2.9	2.1	3.0
39. School staff are responsive to the needs and concerns expressed by community members.	3.0	3.2	2.9
<b>Community Engagement subscale</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.8</b>

*Note:* It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.



Collegial Leadership Subscale Items	International			All High Schools
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	
2. The principal explores all sides of topics and admits that other opinions exist.	3.7	3.0	3.6	2.9
10. The principal puts suggestions made by faculty into operation.	3.4	2.9	3.3	2.6
11. The principal treats all faculty members as his or her equal.	3.6	3.2	3.7	2.8
16. The principal lets faculty know what is expected of them.	3.6	3.2	3.8	3.1
18. The principal is willing to make changes.	3.5	3.2	3.7	2.9
22. The principal maintains definite standards for performance.	3.7	3.3	3.7	3.1
35. The principal is friendly and approachable.	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.1
<b>Collegial Leadership Subscale</b>	<b>3.6 </b>	<b>3.2 </b>	<b>3.7 </b>	<b>2.9</b>

*Note:* It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.


- 
- 3. The school sets high standards for academic performance.
  - 6. Teachers in this school believe that their students have the ability to achieve academically.
  - 7. Parents exert pressure to maintain high standards.
  - 8. Academic achievement is recognized and acknowledged by the school.
  - 13. Parents press for school improvement.
  - 15. Students in this school can achieve the goals that have been set for them.
  - 19. Students respect others who get good grades.
  - 25. Students seek extra work so they can get good grades.



## APPENDIX

General Climate Subscale Items	International			All High Schools
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	
24. Campus staff are friendly to each other.	3.5 	3.7 	3.9	3.3
27. Campus staff exhibit pride in their affiliation with the school.	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.2
28. Campus staff are willing to go out of their way to help.	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.2
29. Campus staff accomplish their jobs with enthusiasm.	3.6	3.3	3.8	3.0
30. Campus staff are committed to their jobs.	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.2
37. The goals of my school are made clear.	3.5	3.3	3.8	3.0
General Climate Subscale	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.1

Note: It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

To the best of your knowledge, how often do the following events occur at your school?	International			All High Schools
	2007-08	2009-10		
50. Student racial tension	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.5
51. Student bullying	1.1 	1.4	1.6	1.9
52. Widespread disorder in classrooms	0.4	0.7	0.9	1.4
53. Student acts of disrespect for Teachers	0.9	1.2	1.2	2.3
54. Student acts of disrespect for Nonteaching Professional or Administrative Staff	0.7	0.9	1.1	2.1
55. Student acts of disrespect for Classified or Support Staff	0.7	0.9	1.1	2.0
56. Gang activities	0.9	0.8	1.1	1.6

Note: It is desirable to have a response of less than 2.0

How satisfied are you with the way your campus addresses:	International		All High Schools
	2008-09	2009-10	
57a. Student Behavior	3.5	3.6	2.8
57b. Classroom Management	3.4	3.5	3.0
57c. Common Area Management	3.4	3.5	2.9
Behavior Management Subscale	3.4	3.5	2.9

Note: It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

APPENDIX

To the best of your knowledge, how often do the following events occur at your school?	International				All High Schools	
	2008-09		2009-10		No	Yes
	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
58. There is a behavior support team (other than PBS or IMPACT) on my campus.	n/a	n/a	19%	81%	16%	84%
59. I am regularly updated about PBS activities/progress.	n/a	n/a	56%	44%	54%	46%
60. I have used PBS strategies in the classroom/common area.	n/a	n/a	21%	79%	37%	63%
61. I know how to refer students to campus resources such as IMPACT, behavior support specialists, School to Community Liaisons, etc.	43%	60%	6%	84%	16%	84%
62. I feel there is consistent reinforcement of commendable student behavior on my campus.	10%	70%	11%	89%	35%	65%
63. I know how to refer students to external agencies such as Communities in Schools (CIS), Safe Place, etc.	10%	77%	16%	84%	23%	77%
<b>Average Percentage</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>80%</b>

**Data Vision.** These two items were new to the survey this year and addressed the extent to which teachers utilize student data in their work. Response options ranged from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (4). High scores indicate higher levels of data vision.

Data Vision	International 2009-10	All High Schools
40. There are clear goals and structures for teaching and learning in AISD.	3.4	3.1
41. There is a clear vision for the use of data to inform education in AISD.	3.2	2.9
<b>Total Data Use Subscale</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.0</b>

Note: It is desirable to have a response of 3.0 or higher.

**Teacher Support.** These eight items were new to the survey this year and addressed the extent to which teachers feel valued for their contributions on campus, for their hard work and generally supported on their campus. Response options ranged from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (4). High scores indicate higher levels of appreciation.

Teacher Support	International 2009-10	All High Schools
42. My school values my contribution to its well-being.	3.7	3.1
43. My school appreciates my extra effort.	3.6	3.0
44. My school does not ignore my complaints.	3.5	2.9
45. My school really cares about my well-being.	3.6	2.9
46. My school acknowledges my good work.	3.6	2.9
47. My school cares about my general satisfaction at work.	3.5	2.8
48. My school shows a lot of concern for me.	3.6	2.8
49. My school takes pride in my accomplishments at work.	3.6	2.9
<b>Total Teacher Support Subscale</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>

Note: It is desirable to have a response of 3.0 or higher.

---

## REFERENCES

- Bush-Richards, A., Cornetto, K., & Schmitt, L (2008). Summary of 2005-2006 through 2007-2008 AISD staff climate survey results (Publication 07.23). Austin, TX: Austin Independent School District Department of Program Evaluation.
- Hoy, W. K., Smith, P. A., & Sweetland, S. R. (2002). The development of the organizational climate index for high schools: Its measure and relationship to faculty trust. *The High School Journal*, 86, 38-49.
- Schmitt, L. (2006). E-Team report: How does school climate related to academic achievement in AISD, and what can we learn from these relationships? (Publication 06.02). Austin, TX: Austin Independent School District Department of Program Evaluation.
- Schmitt, L., Cornetto, K., & Lamb, L. (2009). Austin ISD 2008-09 board level reports (No. 08.86, 08.87, 08.88). Austin, TX: Austin Independent School District
- Tschannen-Moran, M., Parish, J., & DiPaola, M. F. (2006). School climate: The interplay between interpersonal relationships and student achievement. *Journal of School Leadership*, 16, 386-415.

### Austin Independent School District

Superintendent of Schools  
Meria Carstarphen, Ed.D.

Office of Accountability  
William Caritj, Ed.D.

Department of Program Evaluation  
Holly Williams, Ph.D.

Authors  
Lindsay M. Lamb, Ph.D.  
Lisa N. T. Schmitt, Ph.D.



Board of Trustees  
Mark Williams, President  
Vincent Torres, M.S., Vice President  
Lori Moya, Secretary  
Cheryl Bradley  
Annette LoVoi, M.A.  
Christine Brister  
Robert Schneider  
Karen Dulaney Smith  
Sam Guzman