2009-2010 AISD Campus Staff Climate Survey

A healthy school climate is characterized by positive relationships among students, all campus staff, and the community. School climate is a key factor in several important outcomes including student achievement, reduced violence, higher morale, and faculty trust (Hoy, Smith, & Sweetland, 2002). More specifically, research in AISD indicates that staff climate survey

In Fall 2009, 90% of teachers from Hart responded to the survey. Figure 3 represents the percentage of respondents at Hart (depicted in dark green) and across all Elementary Schools (light blue) who identified themselves as teachers, classified/support staff, or administrators and non-teaching professionals, plus the number who did not specify a staff role. This information will help you to generalize the findings to the rest of your campus community.

Staff results for Hart for the 2009-10 school year year are highlighted on the next page. Please pay close attention to the call-out boxes that appear in red and green as they highlight specific campus climate areas that Hart can improve, as well as areas in which Hart excels. You may visit the following websites for resources and tips on how to improve campus climate in general, and specific resources for improving the area of Hart's lowest subscale score are provided on the next page.

http://www.schoolclimate.org/climate/council.php

http://www.turningpts.org/pdf/Family.pdf


http://www.schoolsecurity.org/

http://ccsr.uchicago.edu

The appendix provides you with more detailed information regarding Hart's campus climate from 2007-08 through 2009-10. Please review the individual items on each subscale with

The graphs below depict Hart's staff climate ratings over time, as well staff climate ratings across all Elementary Schools in 2009-10. Scores over 3.0 are desirable, as indicated by the red dashed line in the graphs below. The first step in improving campus climate is to examine the score for each area (Figure 2).

For 2009-10, Hart staff rated **Professional Teacher Behavior** the highest of all climate areas. Alternatively, Hart staff rated **Community Engagement** the lowest of all climate areas. In the appendix, you will find the individual items that make up **Professional Teacher Behavior** and **Community Engagement**. Examine these items and consider possible areas for campus 0 scnimate



approachablfinite

1

APPENDIX

Achievement Press Subscale Items		Hart		All
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	Elementary Schools
3. The school sets high standards for academic performance.	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.5
6. Teachers in this school believe that their students have the ability to achieve academically.	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.4
7. Parents exert pressure to maintain high	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.4
standards. 8. Academic achievement is recognized and	3.0	3.3	3.1	3.3
acknowledged by the school.13. Parents press for school improvement.15. Students in this school can achieve the goals	2.2 2.7	2.3 3.0	2.0 2.8	2.4
that have been set for them. 19. Students respect others who get good grades.	2.7	2.9	3.1	3.1 3.0
25. Students seek extra work so they can get good grades.	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.3
32. Students try hard to improve on previous work.	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.7
34. The learning environment is orderly and serious. Achievement Press Subscale	3.0 2.6	3.0 2.9	3.0 2.7	3.1 2.9
1 tome vement 1 tess subscare	2.0	2.7		



^{4.} Teachers help and support each other.12. Teachers respect the professional competence of their colleagues.14. The interactions between faculty members are cooperativional

APPENDIX

General Climate Subscale Items		Hart	F	All Elementary
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	Schools
24. Campus staff are friendly to each other.	3.0	3.2	3.0	3.3
27. Campus staff exhibit pride in their affiliation with the school.	2.6	3.0	2.8	3.2
28. Campus staff are willing to go out of their way to help.	2.9	3.2	2.9	3.2
29. Campus staff accomplish their jobs with enthusiasm.	2.8	3.0	2.7	3.0
30. Campus staff are committed to their jobs.	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.3
37. The goals of my school are made clear.	3.0	3.4	2.9	3.3
General Climate Subscale	2.9	3.2	2.9	3.2

Note: It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

To the best of your knowledge, how often do the following events occur at your school?	2007-08	Hart 2008-09	2009-10	All Elementary Schools
50. Student racial tension	1.0 👢	1.0	0.9	0.9
51. Student bullying	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.8
52. Widespread disorder in classrooms	1.1 🖊	1.1	0.9	1.0
53. Student acts of disrespect for Teachers	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.7
54. Student acts of disrespect for Nonteaching Professional or Administrative Staff	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.5
55. Student acts of disrespect for Classified or Support Staff	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.5
56. Gang activities	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.5

Note: It is desirable to have a response of less than 2.0

How satisfied are you with the way	На	ırt	All		
your campus addresses:	2008-09	2009-10	Elementary Schools		
57a. Student Behavior	3.1	2.9	3.1		
57b. Classroom Management	3.3	3.1	3.3		
57c. Common Area Management	3.3	3.0	3.2		
Behavior Management Subscale	3.2	3.0	3.2		

Note: It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.



APPENDIX

	Hart				All Elementary	
To the best of your knowledge, how often do the following events occur at your school?	200 No	8-09 Yes	200 No	9-10 Yes		nools Yes
58. There is a behavior support team (other than PBS or IMPACT) on my campus.	n/a	n/a	16%	84%	25%	75%
59. I am regularly updated about PBS activities/progress.	n/a	n/a	30%	70%	27%	73%
60. I have used PBS strategies in the classroom/common area.	n/a	n/a	19%	81%	15%	86%
61. I know how to refer students to campus resources such as IMPACT, behavior support specialists, School to Community Liaisons, etc.	8%	76%	17%	92%	8%	92%
62. I feel there is consistent reinforcement of commendable student behavior on my campus.	11%	77%	31%	69%	21%	80%
63. I know how to refer students to external agencies such as Communities in Schools (CIS), Safe Place, etc.	29%	48%	44%	57%	27%	73%
Average Percentage	16%	70%	26%	74%	26%	74%

Data Vision. These two items were new to the survey this year and addressed the extent to which teachers utilize student data in their work. Response options ranged from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (4). High scores indicate higher levels of data vision.

Data Vision	Hart	All
	2009-10	Elementary Schools
40. There are clear goals and structures for teaching	3.1	3.2
and learning in AISD.		
41. There is a clear vision for the use of data to inform	3.1	3.1
education in AISD.	0.1	
Total Data Use Subscale	3.1	3.2

Note: It is desirable to have a response of 3.0 or higher.

Teacher Support. These eight items were new to the survey this year and addressed the extent to which teachers feel valued for their contributions on campus, for their hard work and generally supported on their campus. Response options ranged from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (4). High scores indicate higher levels of appreciation.

Teacher Support	Hart 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
42. My school values my contribution to its well-being.	2.9	3.2
43. My school appreciates my extra effort.	2.6	3.1
44. My school does not ignore my complaints.	2.7	3.0
45. My school really cares about my well-being.	2.8	3.1
46. My school acknowledges my good work.	2.7	3.1
47. My school cares about my general satisfaction at work.	2.6	3.0
48. My school shows a lot of concern for me.	2.6	3.0
49. My school takes pride in my accomplishments at work	2.6	3.0
Total Teacher Support Subscale	2.7	3.1

Note: It is desirable to have a response of 3.0 or higher.

REFERENCES

- Bush-Richards, A., Cornetto, K., & Schmitt, L (2008). Summary of 2005-2006 through 2007-2008 AISD staff climate survey results (Publication 07.23). Austin, TX: Austin Independent School District Department of Program Evaluation.
- Hoy, W. K., Smith, P. A., & Sweetland, S. R. (2002). The development of the organizational climate index for high schools: Its measure and relationship to faculty trust. The High School Journal, 86, 38-49.
- Schmitt, L. (2006). E-Team report: How does school climate related to academic achievement in AISD, and what can we learn from these relationships? (Publication 06.02). Austin, TX: Austin Independent School District Department of Program Evaluation.
- Schmitt, L., Cornetto, K., & Lamb, L. (2009). Austin ISD 2008-09 board level reports (No. 08.86, 08.87, 08.88). Austin, TX: Austin Independent School District
- Tschannen-Moran, M., Parish, J., & DiPaola, M. F. (2006). School climate: The interplay between interpersonal relationships and student achievement. Journal of School Leadership, 16, 386-415.

Austin Independent School District

Superintendent of Schools Meria Carstarphen, Ed.D.

Office of Accountability William Caritj, Ed.D.

Department of Program Evaluation Holly Williams, Ph.D.

Authors Lindsay M. Lamb, Ph.D. Lisa N. T. Schmitt, Ph.D.



Board of Trustees

Mark Williams, President
Vincent Torres, M.S., Vice President
Lori Moya, Secretary
Cheryl Bradley
Annette LoVoi, M.A.
Christine Brister
Robert Schneider
Karen Dulaney Smith
Sam Guzman