	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008	2007-2008
_	# of Graham EL Respondents	# of Graham EL Respondents	# of Graham EL Respondents	# of All EL Respondents
Teacher Administrator or	43	47	43	2786

¹ Effect sizes (Cohen's d) were calculated using the means from 2006-2007 and 2007-2008. Effect sizes are a measure of the magnitude of the difference between two means. Mean differences were flagged as meaningful where d .18.

GRAHAM ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STAFF CLIMATE SURVEY RESULTS

Table 2. Subscale Scores for OCI and Additional Subscales

	Overall Climate	External Influences	Collegial Leadership	Professional Teacher Behavior	Achievement Press	General	Positive Behavior Support	Safety
Graham EL 2005-06	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Graham EL 2006-07	3.02	2.88	2.90	3.16	2.74	3.01	3.18	3.18
Graham EL 2007-08	3.13	2.98	3.46 á	3.29	2.88á	3.13	3.09	3.17
All Elementary 2007-08	3.08	2.70	3.05	3.25	2.87	3.17	3.19	3.17

Note: Overall Climate and individual subscale scores may be interpreted as follows: >3.0 is positive; 2.5 to 3.0 is fair; <2.5 is not positive. á â indicate increases and decreases from the previous year.

ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE INDEX AND OTHER SUBSCALE RESULTS

Each item was rated on a scale from **1** (*Rarely Occurs*) to **4** (*Very Frequently Occurs*)¹. Average scores for each item and a subscale score for your school are reflected in the tables below.

External Influences. This subscale consists of 5 items that describe the extent to which the school is affected by outside influences such as parents or citizen groups. High vulnerability suggests that both teachers and principals are unprotected from external demands.

Table 3. Results for External Influences

	Graham EL Avg 2005-06	Graham EL Avg 2006-07	Graham EL Avg 2007-08	All EL Average 2007-08
^a 4. The principal responds to pressure from parents.	*	2.50	2.36â	2.23
^a 8. The school is vulnerable to outside pressures.	2.47	2.90	2.89	2.71
^a 19. Teachers feel pressure from the community.	3.02	3.15	3.29 á	2.88
^a 25. Select citizen groups are influential with the board.	2.77	3.03	3.03	2.79
^a 30. A few vocal parents can change school policy.	3.20	3.18	3.22	3.02
External Influences Subscale	*	2.88	2.98	2.70

Note: It is desirable to have an average response of at least 3.0, indicated in **bold** type. ^aItem was reverse-scored such that a response of "Rarely Occurs" was scored as a 4. á â indicate increases and decreases from the previous year.

¹ Respondents also had the option of marking "N/A."



Achievement Press. This subscale consists of 8 items that describe the extent to which the school has set high but achievable academic standards and goals. Achievement press is marked by students who persist, strive to achieve, and are respected by each other and teachers for their academic successes. In addition, this subscale measures the extent to which parents, teachers, and principals exert pressure for high standards and school improvement.

Table 6. Results for Achievement Press



Note: It is desirable to have an average response of at least 3.0, indicated in **bold** type. ^aItem was reverse-scored such that a response of "Rarely Occurs" was scored as a 4. á â indicate increases and decreases from the previous year.

Frequency of Selected Student Behaviors. This subscale measures the frequency of selected undesirable student behaviors. The items were rated on a scale of 0 (*Never Happens*) to 4 (*Happens Daily*). Average scores for each item are shown in the table that follows.

Table 8. Results for Frequency of Undesirable Student Behaviors

To the best of your knowledge, how often do the following events occur at your school?	Graham EL Avg 2005-06	Graham EL Avg 2006-07	Graham EL Avg 2007-08	All EL Average 2007-08
38. Student racial tension	1.44	0.91	1.00	0.88
39. Student bullying	2.54	1.46	1.56	1.66
40. Widespread disorder in classrooms	1.44	0.79	0.94á	0.95
41. Student acts of disrespect for Teachers	2.38	1.65	1.49â	1.54
42. Student acts of disrespect for Nonteaching Professional or Administrative Staff	2.28	1.45	1.52	1.41
43. Student acts of disrespect for Classified or Support Staff	1.98	1.38	1.45	1.37
44. Gang activities	0.43	0.12	0.48á	0.41

Prevalence of Selected Student Behaviors. This subscale measures the prevalence of selected undesirable student behaviors. Items were rated on a scale of 0 (*None*) to 5 (*All*). Average scores for each item are shown in the table that follows.

Table 9. Results for Prevalence of Undesirable Student Behaviors

contribute to the following events? Avg 2005-06 Avg 2006-07 Avg 2007-08	S	Graham EL Avg 2005-06	Graham EL Avg 2006-07	Graham EL Avg 2007-08	
--	---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

Note: It is desirable to have an average response of *less than 2.0*, indicated in **bold** type. á â Indicate increases and decrease in the frequency of each behavior from the previous year.

Safety. The frequency and prevalence of undesirable student behaviors have been combined to form a score that indicates the overall staff perception of student behaviors. Scores have been converted to range from 1 (least desirable) to 4 (most desirable).

Table 10. Results for Safety Subscale Score

	Graham EL Avg 2005-06	Graham EL Avg 2006-07	Graham EL Avg 2007-08	All EL Average 2007-08
Safety Subscale Score	*	3.18	3.17	3.17

Positive Student Behaviors and Staff Reinforcement of Positive Student Behaviors.

This subscale measures the frequency and prevalence of positive student behavior and staff reinforcement of positive behaviors. Average scores for each item are shown in the table that follows.

Table 11. Results for Positive Student Behavior and Behavior Support

To the best of your knowledge, how often do the following events occur at your school?	Graham EL Avg 2005-06	Graham EL Avg 2006-07	Graham EL Avg 2007-08	All EL Average 2007-08
45. Commendable student behavior	3.31	3.35	3.02 â	3.25
46. Staff reinforcement of commendable student behavior	3.25	3.12	3.09	3.27
To the best of your knowledge, how many students or staff exhibit the following behaviors?				
54. Commendable student behavior	3.07	3.14	2.89â	3.16
55. Staff reinforcement of commendable student behaviors	3.53	3.79	3.84	3.79
Positive Behavior Support Subscale Score*	*	3.18	3.09	3.19

Note: It is desirable to have an average response of *greater than 3.0*, indicated in **bold** type. á â Indicate increases and decrease in the frequency of each behavior from the previous year. Items were rated on a scale of 0 (*Never Happens*) to 4 (*Happens Daily*). Items were rated on a scale of 0 (*None*) to 5 (*All*). *Subscale scores represent a combination of items and have been converted to range from 1 (least desirable) to 4 (most desirable).

UNDERSTANDING AND USING THE RESULTS OF YOUR STAFF CLIMATE SURVEY

Campuses with higher Overall Climate scores, as represented by the table shown at the top of page 2, are characterized by more positive relationships among the administrators, staff, teachers, and students. Campuses with a strong climate are also better able to direct their energy toward the mission of setting high, achievable standards for students than campuses with lower climate scores. If your school's Overall Climate score is over 3.0, it means that according to self-report by campus staff, your campus has a relatively positive school environment. Although this is a commendable position, campus administrators and leaders should be challenged to continue to improve the climate at their schools to create an even better environment for teaching and learning. If your school's Overall Climate percentile is below 2.5, it means that your campus does not have a positive school climate. Scores between 2.5 and 3.0 indicate a fair school climate.