# 2009-2010 AISD Parent Survey Cook Elementary School

Strong relationships among staff, students, and parents are critical to student success. In particular, parent involvement in education is associated with a high level of student achievement (for a meta-analysis see Fan & Chen, 2001). These survey results provide campuses with feedback about how parents feel about their treatment by school staff, and the school's educational environment, and how parents describe their child's education. The following report contains the results of the 2009-2010 Parent Survey for Austin Independent School District (AISD); longitudinal data are provided where applicable.

The image below displays factors that are known to be related to student academic growth. In this report, we focus on the role of parents (circled in red), which has been measured with six survey subscales: Respectful School Community; Information about Student Expectations and Progress; Academic Planning Information; Teacher Expectations; Support for Parental Involvement; and Parental Assistance, Communication and School Involvement.

In Spring 2009, 656 parents returned surveys for Cook, representing 73% of students from Cook (compared to the district Elementary School response, 34%). Figure 1 represents the percentage of respondents with children at Cook from each ethnic group. The tables below show the total number of surveys Cook parents returned in 2009-2010, and the percentage of responses and students at Cook represented by each grade.

	Nu	umber of Respo Cook	ndents	Figure 1. Percentage of Respondents and Students by Ethnicity for Cook, 2009-2010	r
	# of su	rveys returned	656		1
	# of stu	idents	901	Native American 1	19
_	% of st	udents represent	ted 73		
(	% of stu	dents represei	nted by grad		
		0/ - f	% School		
	grade	% of respondents			
	PK	respondents 1	population n/a		
			population n/a 21		
	PK	respondents 1	population n/a 21 19		
	PK K	respondents 1 18	population n/a 21 19 17		
	PK K 1st	respondents 1 18 17	population n/a 21 19 17 16		8
	PK K 1st 2nd	respondents 1 18 17 18	population n/a 21 19 17 16 15		ŏ
	PK K 1st 2nd 3rd	respondents 1 18 17 18 18 18	population n/a 21 19 17 16		ő

Survey results for Cook Elementary School for the 2009-2010 school year are presented on the next page. Please note the green and red text boxes that highlight specific areas in which Cook excels, as well as areas in which Cook can improve. The following websites contain resources and tips on how to improve areas measured with the parent survey.

The US Department of Education http://www.dww.ed.gov/

The National Center for Parental Involvement in Education:http://www.ncpie.org The Harvard Family Research Project:http://www.hfrp.org/

The appendix provides more detailed information regarding Cook's parent survey results from 2007-2008 through 2009-2010. Please review the individual items on each subscale with particular attention to how Cook's average ratings have changed or remained consistent over time. Please note that some subscales have changed from previous years, making longitudinal comparisons inappropriate for some items and subscales. For items and subscales where longitudinal data are available, statistically meaningful changes are flagged with up or down arrows. Please share the results of this report with all campus staff and your Campus Advisory Council to inform campus improvement planning.

The graph below depicts Cook's parent survey ratings over time, as well as parent survey ratings across all Elementary Schools in 2009-2010. Scores over 3.0 are desirable, as indicated by the red dashed line in the graph below. The first step in improving these characteristics is to examine the score for each area (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Parent Survey Subscales for Cook and all Elementary Schools, 2009-2010

*Note.* The light blue bars represent 2009-2010 data for Cook and the dark blue bars represent 2009-2010 data for all Elementary Schools.

Cook's highest score on the 2009-2010 Parent Survey was Teacher Expectations. This measure was new to the 2009-2010 survey and is designed to measure expectations parents believe that teachers have for their children. Research shows that teacher expectations relate to academic achievement. We encourage your campus to continue fostering a positive learning environment with rigorous teacher expectations for all students. Cook's lowest score on the 2009-2010 Parent Survey was Parental Assistance, Communication, and School Involvement, which measures the extent to which parents spend with their child on school-related activities. Parental involvement contributInvn 7x12968 1 3b chutIn9volvem

Projach: Parentahttp://www.hfrp.org/compfor ally- positi

Respectful School Community	Cook 2007-082008-09		All Elementary ) Schools
<ol> <li>School staff provide me with positive feedback about my child.</li> <li>School staff treat my child with courtesy and</li> </ol>	3.6 3.5	3.4	3.5
respect.	3.4 3.5	3.4	3.5
6. I feel welcome in my child's classroom.	3.7 3.5 🖊	3.5	3.6
16. My child's school is a safe learning environment.	3.5 1 3.5	3.5	3.5
17a. My child's school principal treats me with			
courtesy and respect.	3.6 🕇 3.5 📕	3.5	3.5
18a. The school assistant principal(s) treat me with			
courtesy and respect.	3.6 🚹 3.5 📕	3.5	3.5
19a.My child's teacher(s) treat me with courtesy and			
respect.	3.7 🚹 3.6 📕	3.6	3.6
20a. My child's counselor(s) treat me with courtesy and			0.0
respect.	3.6 主 3.4 📕	3.4	3.5
21a. Office staff treat me with courtesy and respect.	3.6 1 3.5	3.5	3.5
23h. School staff provided me with enough information			5.5
about handling complaints and concerns.	3.5 1 3.3 🖊	3.4	3.3
Respectful School Community subscale	3.5 3.5	3.5	3.5

*Note*: Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Information About Student Expectations		Cook	ζ	All Elementary
and Progress	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	Schools
My child's school staff clearly communicate their expectations for				
8. My child's learning.	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5
9. My child's behavior.	n/a	3.6	3.5	3.5
School staff provide me with enough information about my child's				2.5
22a. Academic progress.	3.6 1	3.6	3.5	3.5
22b. Preparedness for TAKS.	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
22c. Risk of failing a grade.	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
22d. Availability of tutoring.	n/a	3.4	3.5	3.4
23a. Behavior.	3.6 🕇	3.6	3.5	3.5
23b. Attendance	n/a	n/a	3.5	3.5
Expectations and Progress subscale	n/a	n/a	3.5	3.5

*Note*: Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

#### APPENDIX

Academic Planning Information	2007-08	Cook 2008-09	2009-10	All Elementary Schools
School staff provide me with enough information about				
23c. After school programs	n/a	3.3	3.4	3.5
23d. Transitions to and from elementary, middle,		5.5	5.4	5.5
and high school.	n/a	3.3	3.3	3.3
22e. High school graduation requirements.	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.3
23e. Career opportunities for my child.	2.9 🦊	3.2	3.2	3.3
23f. College admission requirements and				
financing options.	n/a	n/a	3.2	3.2
Academic Planning and Information subscale	n/a	n/a	3.3	3.4

*Note*: Response options range from 1(strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Teacher Expectations	Cook 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
11. My child'steachers believe my child can do well in		
school.	3.5	3.6
12. My child'steachers believe my child can learn new		
things.	3.6	3.6
13. My child's teachers encourage my child to stick with		
problems until he/she can solve them.	3.5	3.5
Teacher Expectations subscale	3.5	3.6

*Note*: These items were new to the 2009-2010 Parent Survey and were designed to measure teacher's expectations for their students. Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Knowledge about child's experiences at school	Cook 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
<ul><li>11. I believe my child likes to go to school.</li><li>15. AISD's online ParentConnection system has helped me</li></ul>	3.5	3.6
to monitor my child's progress.	3.4	3.3

*Note*: These items were new to the 2009-2010 Parent Survey. Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

### APPENDIX

Support for Parental Involvement	2007-08	Cook 2008-09	E 2009-10	All Iementary Schools
7. My child's school staff use the suggestions that I make about my child's education.	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
14. My child's teachers make it easy for me to be involved with my child's education.	n/a	n/a	3.5	3.5
17b. My child's principal provides me with opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings, email, etc.).	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4
18b. My child's assistant principal(s) provide me with opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings, email, etc.).	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.4
19b. My child'steacher(s) have helped me become more involved in my child'seducation.	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.6
19c. My child's teacher(s) value my input in academic decisions about my child.	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.6
19d. My child'steacher(s) provide me with opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings, email, etc.).	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.6
20b. My child's counselor(s) have helped me become more involved in my child's education.	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4
20c. My child's counselor(s) value my input in academic decisions about my child.	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
20d. My child's counselor(s) provide me with opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings, email, etc.).	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
23g. School staff provide me with enough information about opportunities to be involved.	n/a	n/a	3.4	3.4
Support for Parental Involvement subscale	n/a	n/a	3.4	3.5

*Note*: Items range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree) with a response of at least 3.0 desirable. With the addition of items 14 and 23g in 2009-2010, subscale comparisons across years are no longer possible.

Parental Assistance, Communication, and School Involvement	Cook 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
24. Talk with my child about his/her school day.	3.9	3.9
25. Supervise my child'shomework.	3.9	3.9
26. Help my child study for tests.	3.7	3.7
27. Talk with other parents about my child's school.	2.9	3.0
28. Communicate with my child'steachers (e.g., telephone,		
email, notes, in person).	3.3	3.4
29. Volunteer at my child's school.	2.2	2.5
30. Attend PTA/CAC meetings.	2.6	2.5
	3.7	3.7
	3.3	3.3
	3.1	3.3
	3.1	3.3
	3.2	3.3
<ul> <li>31. Attend regularly scheduled parent-teacher conferences.</li> <li>32. Attend annual meetings about my child's academic plans.</li> <li>33. Visit my child's school (e.g., for lunch, walk them to class, observe).</li> <li>34. Attend performance events and/or sports events at my child's school.</li> <li>Parental Involvement subscale</li> </ul>	3.3 3.1 3.1	3.3 3.3 3.3

APPENDIX

*Note*: These items were new to the 2009-2010 parent survey and are designed to measure the degree of parental involvement on your campus. Response options range from 1 (never) to 4 (often). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Parent Support Specialist	Cook 2009-10	All Elementary Schools
35. I use the Parent Support Specialist as a resource.	2.2	2.4
36. The Parent Support Specialist helps me to be involved		
in my child's education.	2.4	2.6
37. The Parent Support Specialist on my campus is		
accessible during a variety of times (e.g., before school,		
during school hours, after school, in the evenings, on the		
weekend).	2.5	2.8
Parent Support Specialist subscale	2.3	2.6

*Note*: These items were new to the 2009-2010 parent survey and are designed to measure the degree to which parents use their school's parent support specialist (if applicable). Response options range from 1 (never) to 4 (often). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

#### REFERENCES

Fan, X. & Chen, M. (2001). Parental involvement and students' academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, *13*(1), 1-22.

## Austin Independent School District

Superintendent of Schools Meria Carstarphen, Ed.D.

Office of Accountability William Caritj, Ed.D.

Department of Program Evaluation Holly Williams, Ph.D.

> Authors Lindsay M. Lamb, Ph.D. Lisa N. T. Schmitt, Ph.D.



Board of Trustees Mark Williams, President Vincent Torres, M.S., Vice President Lori Moya, Secretary Cheryl Bradley Annette LoVoi, M.A. Christine Brister Robert Schneider Karen Dulaney Smith Sam Guzman