
Survey Report

In Spring 2009, 124 parents returned surveys for Anderson, representing 6% of students from Anderson (compared to the district High School response, 9%). Figure 1 represents the percentage of respondents with children at Anderson from each ethnic group. The tables below show the total number of surveys Anderson parents returned in 2009-2010, and the percentage of responses and students at Anderson represented by each grade.

Number of Respondents Anderson			
# of surv	veys returned	124	
# of stud	lents	1,997	
% of students represented 6			
% of stud	lents represei	nted by grad	
grade	% of	% School	
	respondents	population	
9th	respondents 31	25	
Ŭ			
9th	31	25	
9th 10th	31 35	25 25	





Survey results for Anderson High School for the 2009-2010 school year are presented on the next page. Please note the green and red text boxes that highlight specific areas in which Anderson excels, as well as areas in which Anderson can improve. The following websites contain resources and tips on how to improve areas measured with the parent survey.

The US Department of Education http://www.dww.ed.gov/

The National Center for Parental Involvement in Education:http://www.ncpie.org The Harvard Family Research Project:http://www.hfrp.org/

The appendix provides more detailed information regarding Anderson's parent survey results from 2007-2008 through 2009-2010. Please review the individual items on each subscale with particular attention to how Anderson's average ratings have changed or remained consistent over time. Please note that some subscales have changed from previous years, making longitudinal comparisons inappropriate for some items and subscales. For items and subscales where longitudinal data are available, statistically meaningful changes are flagged with up or down arrows. Please share the results of this report with all campus staff and your Campus Advisory Council to inform campus improvement planning.

The graph below depicts Anderson's parent survey ratings over time, as well as parent survey ratings across all High Schools in 2009-2010. Scores over 3.0 are desirable, as indicated by the red dashed line in the graph below. The first step in improving these characteristics is to examine the score for each area (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Parent Survey Subscales for Anderson and all High Schools, 2009-2010

Note. The light blue bars represent 2009-2010 data for Anderson and the dark blue bars represent 2009-2010 data for all High Schools.

Anderson's highest score on the 2009-2010 Parent Survey was Teacher Expectations. This measure was new to the 2009-2010 survey and is designed to measure expectations parents believe that teachers have for their children. Research shows that teacher expectations relate to academic achievement. We encourage your campus to continue fostering a positive learning environment with rigorous teacher expectations for all students. Anderson's lowest score on the 2009-2010 Parent Survey was Parental Assistance, Communication, and School Involvement, which measures the extent to which parents spend with their child on school-related activities. Parental involvement contributes positively to academic achievement. For resources on how to improve parental involvement, please visit The Harvard Family Research Project: http://www.hfrp.org/complementaylearning

Respectful School Community		Anderso	on	All High
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	
4. School staff provide me with positive feedback			•	
about my child.	2.8	3.1 🕇	3.0	3.1
5. School staff treat my child with courtesy and	2 1	2 2	3.1	2.2
respect. 6. I feel welcome in my child's classroom.	3.1 2.9	3.2 1 3.0	2.8	3.3
16. My child's school is a safe learning environment.	2.9	3.0 3.1	3.1	3.2 3.3
17a. My child's school principal treats me with	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.5
courtesy and respect.	3.3 📕	3.4 🕇	3.3 📕	3.4
18a. The school assistant principal(s) treat me with				5.1
courtesy and respect.	3.2 📕	3.4 🚹	3.3	3.4
19a.My child's teacher(s) treat me with courtesy and				
respect.	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4
20a. My child's counselor(s) treat me with courtesy and	_	1		
respect.	3.1	5.1	3.1	3.4
21a. Office staff treat me with courtesy and respect.	3.2 📕	3.3	3.2	3.3
23h. School staff provided me with enough information	a a 🔺	07	2.0	•
about handling complaints and concerns.	2.9	2.7	2.8	3.0
Respectful School Community subscale	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.2

Note: Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Information About Student Expectations	Anderson			All High
and Progress	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	Schools
My child's school staff clearly communicate their expectations for 8. My child's learning.	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.2
9. My child's behavior.	n/a	3.2	3.2	3.3
School staff provide me with enough information about my child's				
22a. Academic progress.	3.0	3.2 🚺	3.2	3.3
22b. Preparedness for TAKS.	2.8	3.0 1	3.1	3.2
22c. Risk of failing a grade.	2.9	3.1 🔶	3.2	3.2
22d. Availability of tutoring.	n/a	3.0	3.0	3.2
23a. Behavior.	2.9	3.0 1	3.1	3.2
23b. Attendance	n/a	n/a	3.3	3.4
Expectations and Progress subscale	n/a	n/a	3.1	3.2

Note: Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

APPENDIX

Academic Planning Information	2007-08	Anderson 2008-09	2009-10	All High Schools
School staff provide me with enough information about				
23c. After school programs	n/a	2.8	2.9	3.1 🚹
23d. Transitions to and from elementary, middle,		2.0	2.0	2 1
and high school. 22e. High school graduation requirements.	n/a 2.9	3.0 3.2	3.0 3.1	$3.1 \\ 3.2$
23e. Career opportunities for my child.	2.8	2.5	2.7	3.0
23f. College admission requirements and financing options.	n/a	n/a	2.9	3.1
Academic Planning and Information subscale	n/a	n/a n/a	2.9	3.1

Note: Response options range from 1(strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Teacher Expectations	Anderson 2009-10	All High Schools
11. My child'steachers believe my child can do well in		
school.	3.4	3.4
12. My child'steachers believe my child can learn new		
things.	3.4	3.4
13. My child'steachers encourage my child to stick with		
problems until he/she can solve them.	3.2	3.3
Teacher Expectations subscale	3.3	3.4

Note: These items were new to the 2009-2010 Parent Survey and were designed to measure teacher's expectations for their students. Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

Knowledge about child's experiences at school	Anderson 2009-10	All High Schools
11. I believe my child likes to go to school.15. AISD's online ParentConnection system has helped me	3.2	3.3
to monitor my child's progress.	3.6	3.4

Note: These items were new to the 2009-2010 Parent Survey. Response options range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

APPENDIX

Parental Assistance, Communication, and School Involvement	Anderson 2009-10	All High Schools
24. Talk with my child about his/her school day.	3.8	3.7
25. Supervise my child's homework.	2.9	3.0
26. Help my child study for tests.	2.5	2.8
27. Talk with other parents about my child's school.	2.9	2.9
28. Communicate with my child'steachers (e.g., telephone,		
email, notes, in person).	2.6	2.8
29. Volunteer at my child's school.	2.2	2.2
30. Attend PTA/CAC meetings.	1.8	2.1
31. Attend regularly scheduled parent-teacher conferences.	2.9	2.9
32. Attend annual meetings about my child's academic plans.	2.8	2.8
33. Visit my child's school (e.g., for lunch, walk them to class,		
observe).	1.9	2.3
34. Attend performance events and/or sports events at my	>	
	3.3	3.1
child's school. Parental Involvement subscale	3.3 2.7	3.1 2.8

Note: These items were new to the 2009-2010 parent survey and are designed to measure the degree of parental involvement on your campus. Response options range from 1 (never) to 4 (often). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

APPENDIX

REFERENCES

Fan, X. & Chen, M. (2001). Parental involvement and students' academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, *13*(1), 1-22.

Austin Independent School District

Superintendent of Schools Meria Carstarphen, Ed.D.

Office of Accountability William Caritj, Ed.D.

Department of Program Evaluation Holly Williams, Ph.D.

> Authors Lindsay M. Lamb, Ph.D. Lisa N. T. Schmitt, Ph.D.



Board of Trustees Mark Williams, President Vincent Torres, M.S., Vice President Lori Moya, Secretary Cheryl Bradley Annette LoVoi, M.A. Christine Brister Robert Schneider Karen Dulaney Smith Sam Guzman