## Part (i): Description of State Accountability System

Part (i)(I) the minimum number of students that the State determines are necessary to be included in each of the subgroups of students for use in the accountability system. The Texas accountability minimum size criteria are 10 assessments or students for the all student group or any subgroup for every indicator.

Part (i)(II) the long-term goals and measurements of interim progress for all students and for each of the subgroups of students (not applicable to district and state report cards).

State ESSA Goals (Middle Schools)

Part (i)(III) the indicators used to meaningfully differentiate all public schools in the State:

a. Academic Achievement Indicator: STAAR Performance Status (Percent at Meets Grade Level or Above)
b. Other Academic Indicator for Non-High Schools: STAAR Growth Status
c.

(bb) the methodology by which the State differentiates all such schools. Total points for each component are determined by multiplying the percentage of evaluated indicators met by the corresponding weight and rounding to one decimal place. The Closing the Gaps domain score is the sum of the total points rounded to the nearest whole number and is further used to differentiate all public schools. See the accountability manual for details.   Chapter 4-2023 Closing the Gaps Domain

(cc) the methodology by which the State differentiates a school as consistently underperforming for any subgroup of students.Texas uses the Closing the Gaps domain to identify campuses that have consistently under-performing student groups. A student group that misses the targets in at least the same three indicators, for three consecutive years, is considered 'consistently underperforming'. Any campus not identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) that has at least one consistently underperforming student group is identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI). TSI identifies both Title I and non-Title I campuses. Data from 2019, 2022, and 2023 are considered consecutive years for 2023 TSI identification. See the accountability manual for details. Chapter 10-2023 Identification of Schools for Improvement

(dd) the methodology by which the State identifies a school for comprehensive support and improvement. Texas annually ranks all Title I campuses based on Closing the Gaps scaled scores. First, Texas determines the bottom five percent of Closing the Gaps outcomes by rank ordering the scaled scores of Title I campuses by schooltype - elementary, middle, high school/K-12, and alternative education accountability. Texas then determines which campuses fell in the bottom five percent for each school type. Title I campuses that rank in their school type's bottom five percent are identified for CSI. Any Title I campus identified for Additional Targeted Support (ATS) for three consecutive years will be identified for CSI the following school year.

	State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Non Econ Disadv	CWD	CWOD	EL	Male	Female	Migrant	Homeless		

	State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Non Econ Disadv	CWD	CWOD	EL	Male	Female	Migrant	Homeless	Foster Care	Military

	Total
Incidents of threats of physical attack without a weapon	0
Incidents of possession of a firearm or explosive device	0
Allegations of Harassment or bullying	
On the basis of sex	0
On the basis of race	0
On the basis of disability	0
On the basis of sexual orientation	0
On the basis of religion	0

Part (viii)(II)

This section provides information on the professional qualifications of teachers, including information disaggregated by high- and low-poverty schools on the number and percentage of (I) inexperienced teacher, principals, and other school leaders; (II) teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials; and (III) teachers who are not teaching in the subject or field for which the teacher is certified or licensed.

Low Poverty School		
	All School	
	Number	Percent
Inexperienced Teachers, Principals, and Other School Leaders	4.1	14.6%
Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	0.0	-
Teacher Who Are Not Teaching in the Subject or Field for Which the Teacher is Certified or Licensed	1.4	5.4%

- Indicates there are no data available in the group.

Part (x): Per-pupil Expenditure

This section provides information on the per-pupil expenditures of federal, state, and local funds, including actual personnel expenditures and actual non-personnel expenditures, disaggregated by source of funds, for each school district and campus for the preceding fiscal year.

To be updated by June 30th, 2024.

Part (xi): STAAR Alternate 2 Participation

This section provides information on the number and percentage of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who take STAAR Alternate 2, by grade and subject for the 2022-23 school year.

	State Number of ALT2	State Rate of ALT2	District Number of ALT2	District Rate of ALT2	Campus Number of ALT2	Campus Rate of ALT2
Grade 3						
Reading	7,391	2%	88	2%	-	-
Mathematics	7,386	2%	88	2%	-	-
Grade 4						
Reading	7,296	2%	103	2%	-	-
Mathematics	7,293	2%	103	2%	-	-
Grade 5						
Reading	6,823	2%	87	2%	-	-
Mathematics	6,825	2%	87	2%	-	-
Science	6,820	2%	86	2%	-	-
Grade 6						
Reading	6,480	2%	95	2%	-	-
Mathematics	6,481	2%	96	2%	-	-
Grade 7						
Reading	6,309	2%	77	2%	-	-
Mathematics	6,300	2%	76	3%	-	-

	State Number of ALT2	State Rate of ALT2	District Number of ALT2	District Rate of ALT2	Campus Number of ALT2	Campus Rate of ALT2
Grade 8						
Reading	6,168	1%	87	2%	-	-
Mathematics	6,162	2%	87	2%	-	-
Science	6,163	1%	87	2%	-	-
End of Course						
English I	6,032	1%	78	1%	-	-
English II	5,771	1%	67	1%	-	-
Algebra I	6,015	1%	78	1%	-	-
Biology	6,041	1%	80	1%	-	-
All Grades						
All Subjects	117,761	1%	1,550	1%	-	-
Reading	52,275	1%	682	1%	-	-
Mathematics	46,462	2%	615	2%	-	-
Science	19,024	1%	253	1%	-	-

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part (xii): Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

This section provides results on the state academic assessments in reading and mathematics in grades 4 and 8 of the 2022 National Assessment of Educational Progress, compared to the national average of such results.

State Level: 2022 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels										
			% Below Basic		% At or Above Basic		% At or Above Proficient		% At Advanced	
Grade	Subject	Student Group	TX	US	TX	US	TX	US	TX	US
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	42	37	58	63	30	33	7	9
		Black	51	56	49	44	19	17	3	3
		Hispanic	52	50	48	50	20	21	3	4
		White	26	27	74	73	44	42	10	11
		American Indian	*	57	*	43	*	18	*	3
		Asian	8	17	92	83	71	58	31	24
		Pacific Islander	*	50	*	50	*	23	*	6
		Two or More Races	28	32	72	68	41	38	8	11
		EcoDis	54	52	46	48	18	19	3	3
		Students with Disabilities	77	73	23	27	7	10	1	2
		English Language Learners	57	67	43	33	16	10	2	1

State Level: 2022 NAEP Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and English Learners			
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Rate
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	89%
		English Learners	95%
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	87%
		English Learners	95%
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	89%
		English Learners	97%
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	93%
		English Learners	97%

Part (xiii): Cohort Rate of Graduates Enrolled in Postsecondary Education

This section provides information on the cohort rate at which students who graduated from high school in the 2020-21 school year enrolled in a Texas public postsecondary education institution in the 2021-22 academic year in (I) programs of public postsecondary education in Texas; (II) programs of private postsecondaryeducation in Texas; and (III) programs of postsecondary education outside Texas. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)