Part (i): Description of State Accountability System

Part (i)(I) the minimum number of students that the State determines are necessary to be included in each of the subgroups of students for use in the accountability system. The Texas accountability minimum size criteria are 25 tests for assessment related indicators or 25 students for non-assessment related indicators, such as graduation, for any student group, and 10 tests or students for the all student group.

Part (i)(II) the long-term goals and measurements of interim progress for all students and for each of the subgroups of students.

			5	State ESS/	A Goals	6						
		All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races		Special Educ	EL (Current & Former)
Academic Pe	erformance (At Meets Gra	de Level	or Above)									
Reading/ELA	Baseline 2016-17 Rates	44%	32%	37%	60%	43%	74%	45%	56%	33%	19%	29%
	2017-18 through 2021-22	44%	32%	37%	60%	43%	74%	45%	56%	33%	19%	29%
	2022-23 through 2026-27	52%	42%	46%	66%	51%	78%	53%	62%	43%	31%	39%
	2027-28 through 2031-32	62%	54%	58%	73%	62%	82%	63%	70%	55%	45%	52%
	2032-33	72%	66%	69%	80%	72%	87%	73%	78%	67%	60%	65%
Mathematics	Baseline 2016-17 Rates	46%	31%	40%	59%	45%	82%	50%	54%	36%	23%	40%
	2017-18 through 2021-22	46%	31%	40%	59%	45%	82%	50%	54%	36%	23%	40%
	2022-23 through 2026-27	54%	41%	49%	65%	53%	85%	57%	61%	45%	34%	49%
	2027-28 through 2031-32	63%	54%	59%	73%	63%	88%	66%	69%	57%	48%	59%
	2032-33	73%	66%	70%	80%	73%	91%	75%	77%	68%	62%	70%
EL Progress												
	Baseline 2016-17 Rates											41%
	2017-18 through 2021-22											36%
	2022-23 through 2026-27											38%
	2027-28 through 2031-32											40%
Graduation F	Rate: 4-Year Longitudinal	Rate €										
	Baseline 2016-17 Rates	89%	85%	87%	93%	86%	95%	89%	92%	86%	78%	72%
	2017-18 through 2021-22	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
	2022-23 through 2026-27	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%
	2027-28 through 2031-32	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%

[€] Student groups that are at or above 90% are required to exceed that rate by at least a tenth of a percent in the following year(s).

- (bb) the methodology by which the State differentiates all such schools. A weighted average of the accountability indicators will be computed from the number of items meeting targets divided by the number of items evaluated. The weighted average will be scaled to grades A (90-100), B (80-89), C (70-79), D (60-69), and F (0-59) and further used to differentiate all public schools. https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/chapter-4-2022-closing-the-gaps-domain.pdf
- (cc) the methodology by which the State differentiates a school as consistently underperforming for any subgroup of students. TEA uses the Closing the Gaps domain to identify campuses that have consistently underperforming student groups. A student group that misses the targets in at least the same three indicators, for three consecutive years, is considered consistently underperforming. Any campus not identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) that has at least one consistently underperforming student group is identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI). TSI identifies both Title I and non-Title I campuses. Data from 2018, 2019, and 2022 are considered consecutive years for 2022 TSI identification.
- (dd) the methodology by which the State identifies a school for comprehensive support and improvement. Texas annually ranks all Title I campuses based on Closing the Gaps scaled scores. Beginning August 2022, TEA also evaluates overall scaled scores to make final comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) determinations. Title I campuses with both the lowest Closing the Gaps and lowest overall scaled scores are identified for CSI. First, Texas determines the bottom five percent of Closing the Gaps outcomes by rank ordering the scaled scores of Title I campuses by school type elementary, middle, high school/ K 12, and alternative education accountability. Texas then determines which campuses fell in the bottom five percent for each school type. Next, TEA rank orders the overall scaled scores for all Title I campuses statewide (without regard to campus type) to determine the scaled score cut point necessary to identify at least five percent of Title I campuses. Title I campuses with an overall scaled score cut point at or below the overall cut point and that rank in their school types bottom five percent are identified for CSI.

Texas Education Agency 2020.Fetpleral 0RepartdCard 201117jjp.-004T0.42/9207.4329g.4.5094.667dT(TexesB62tpdaztionvAegtendoy)JT00j8425e08*4[92l.76. 362022se2a0tana Ranatina Abromen/ARAPAtina Tf 319.24 579.6 5979 0.422 2a0 11 13.Apr 1)47-of 26/17 T

- Indicates there are no students in the group.
- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- Ever in grades 9-12.

Part (iv): English Language Proficiency

This section provides information on the number and percentage of English learners achieving English language proficiency based on the 2022 TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System) data. (EL: English learner)

ı	Total EL in Class	Proficiency of EL	Rate of Proficiency
	148	12	8%

- Indicates there are no students in the group.
- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- · Indicates data reporting does not meet for Minimum Size.

Part (v): School Quality or Student Success (SQSS)

This section provides information on the other indicators of school quality or student success, which is college, career and military readiness (CCMR) for high schools and average performance rate of the three STAAR performance levels of all students, regardless of whether they were in the accountability subset, for elementary and secondary schools without a1Tf 320.83 4un the theL: EngCWD: childrent a1T dis subset; lish learner)

		Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Non Econ Disadv	CWD	CWOD	EL	Male	Female	Migrant
Mathematics	Male	100%	*	100%	*	-	*	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-
		100%	*	100%	*	-	*	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-
	Female	100%	*	100%	*	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-
		100%	*	100%	*	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-
SAT/ACT All Subjects	All Students	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CWD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CWOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	EL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Male	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Female	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-Participation Rate	Э																
All Subjects	All Students	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	-
	CWD	0%	-	0%	*	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-
	CWOD	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-	0%	1%	0%	1%	-
	EL	1%	*	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	-
	Male	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-
	Female	1%	0%	1%	*	-											



		Total students	African American	Hispanic	White	Indian or Alaska Native		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	EL	Students with Disabilities
Preschool Programs											
	Male	46	3	38	2	0	2	1	0	26	2
	Female	50	4	43	0	0	1	0	2	22	0
	Total	96	7	81	2	0	3	1	2	48	2
Accelerated Coursework	Accelerated Coursework										
Advanced Placement Courses	Male	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9
	Female	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9
	Total	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9
International Baccalaureate Courses	Male	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9
	Female	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9
	Total	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9
Dual Enrollment/Dual Credit Programs	Male	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9
	Female	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9
	Total	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9

- Indicates there are no data available in the group.
- -3 Indicates skip logic failure.
- -8 Indicates EDFacts missing data.
- -9 Indicates not applicable / skipped.
- -11 Indicates suppressed data.

Blank cell indicates the student group is not applicable to this report.

Part (ix): Teacher Quality Data

This section provides information on the professional qualifications of teachers, including information disaggregated by high- and low-poverty schools on the number and percentage of (I) inexperienced teacher, principals, and other school leaders; (II) teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials; and (III) teachers who are not teaching in the subject or field for which the teacher is certified or licensed.

	All So	hool	
	Number	Percent	
Inexperienced Teachers, Principals, and Other School Leaders	3.4	10.4%	
Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	1.0	3.3%	
Teacher Who Are Not Teaching in the Subject or Field for Which the Teacher is Certified or Licensed	2.2	7.2%	

Indicates there are no data available in the group.
Blank cell indicates there are no data available in the group.

Part (x): Per-pupil Expenditure



Part (xiv): Additional Information - Chronic Absenteeism

This section provides information on the Chronic Absenteeism per EDFacts definition: percent of unduplicated number of K - 12 students enrolled in a school for at least 10 days and absent for 10% or more days during the 2020-21 school year. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)

								Two			
								or			
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ		
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	CWD	EL
Chronic Absenteeism Rate	20%	23%	21%	7%	*	0%	-	*	20%	28%	12%

⁻ Indicates there are no students in the group.

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.