Part (i)(III) the indicators used to meaningfully differentiate all public schools in the State:

a. Academic Achievement Indicator: STAAR Performance Status (Percent at Meets Grade Level or Above)

- b. Other Academic Indicator for Non-High Schools: STAAR Growth Status
- c. Graduation Rate: Federal Graduation Status
- d. ELP Indicator: English Learner Language Proficiency Status

e. School Quality or Student Success (SQSS) Indicators: College, Career, and Military Readiness for High Schools and K-12 Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR only for All Other Schools without Annual Graduates

Part (i)(IV) the State's system for meaningfully differentiating all public schools in the State, including -- (aa) the specific weight of the indicators in such differentiation

Campus Type	Indicator	Weight
Elementary and Middle Schools	Academic Achievement	30%
	Other Academic Indicator	50%
	English Learner Language Proficiency	10%
	SQSS: Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only	10%
High Schools and K-12s, AEAs, and Districts	Academic Achievement	50%
	Federal Graduation Status or Academic Growth Status1	10%
	English Learner Language Proficiency	10%
	SQSS: College, Career, and Military Readiness or Student Domain Score: STAAR Component Only2	30%

1 If Federal Graduation Status is not available, Academic Growth Status is used.

2 If College, Career, and Military Readiness is not available, Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only is used.

(bb) the methodology by which the State differentiates all such schools. A weighted average of the accountability indicators will be computed from the number of items meeting targets divided by the number of items evaluated. The weighted average will be scaled to grades A (90-100), B (80-89), C (70-79), D (60-69), and F (0-59) and further used to differentiate all public schools. https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/chapter-4-2022-closing-the-gaps-domain.pdf

(cc) the methodology by which the State differentiates a school as consistently underperforming for any subgroup of students.TEA uses the Closing the Gaps domain to identify campuses that have consistently underperforming student groups. A student group that misses the targets in at least the same three indicators, for three consecutive years, is considered consistently underperforming. Any campus not identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) that has at least one consistently underperforming student group is identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI). TSI identifies both Title I and non-Title I campuses. Data from 2018, 2019, and 2022 are considered consecutive years for 2022 TSI identification.

(dd) the methodology by which the State identifies a school for comprehensive support and improvement. Texas annually ranks all Title I campuses based on Closing the Gaps scaled scores. Beginning August 2022, TEA also evaluates overall scaled scores to make final comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) determinations. Title I campuses with both the lowest Closing the Gaps and lowest overall scaled scores are identified for CSI. First, Texas determines the bottom five percent of Closing the Gaps outcomes by rank ordering the scaled scores of Title I campuses by school type - elementary, middle, high school/ K - 12, and alternative education accountability. Texas then determines which campuses fell in the bottom five percent for each school type. Next, TEA rank orders the overall scaled scores for all Title I campuses statewide (without regard to campus type) to determine the scaled score cut point necessary to identify at least five percent of Title I campuses. Title I campuses with an overall scaled score cut point at or below the overall cut point and that rank in their school types bottom five percent are identified for CSI.

Part (i)(V) the number and names of all public schools in the State identified by the State for comprehensive support and improvement or implementing targeted support and improvement plans. Campuses Identified for Support under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) for the 2022-2023 school year: Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools Targeted Support and Improvement Schools and Additional Targeted Support Schools.

Part (i)(VI) the exit criteria established by the State, including the length of years established. Campuses that do not rank in their school types bottom five percent of the Closing the Gaps domain for two consecutive years and have an overall scaled score that year that does not fall within the lowest percentile to be reidentified for CSI are considered as having successfully exited. Campuses previously identified as CSI based solely on a graduation rate below 67 percent must have a four or six-year federal graduation rate of at least 67 percent for two consecutive years to exit CSI status.

#### Part (ii): Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides information on student achievement on the STAAR (State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness performance for mathematics, reading/ELA, and science by grade level and proficiency level for the 2021-22 school year. These results include all students tested, regardless of whether they were in the accountability subset. (CWD: children with disability; CWOD: children without disability; EL: English learner)

											Two											
											or		Non									
					African			American		Pacific		Econ	Econ								Foster	
	:	State	District	Campus	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Disadv	CWD	CWOD	EL	Male	Female	Migrant	Homeless	Care	Military
STA	STAAR Percent at Approaches Grade Level or Above																					
Grad	de 3																					



TEA | School Programs | Assessment and Reporting | Performance Reporting

- Indicates there are no students in the group.
- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- Ever in grades 9-12.

#### Part (iv): English Language Proficiency


This section provides information on the number and percentage of English learners achieving English language proficiency based on the 2022 TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System) data. (EL: English learner)

	Proficiency of EL	Rate of Proficiency
82	21	26%


- Indicates there are no students in the group.
- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- Indicates data reporting does not meet for Minimum Size.

#### Part (v): School Quality or Student Success (SQSS)

This section provides information on the other indicators of school quality or student success, which is college, career and military readiness (CCMR) for high schools and average performance rate of the three STAAR performance levels of all students, regardless of whether they were in the accountability subset, for elementary and secondary schools without a graduation rate. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)



# Texas Education Agency 2022 Federal Report Card



TEA | School Programs | Assessment and Reporting | Performance Reporting

								Two			
								or			
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ		EL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	CWD	+
Long-Term Goals	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%
Target Met											


Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

+ STAAR Performance and Graduation use EL(Current & Monitored), EL English Learner Language Proficiency uses EL (Current).

€ Student groups that are at or above 90% are required to exceed that rate by at least a tenth of a percent in the following year(s).

#### Part (vii): STAAR Participation

This section provides the percentage of students assessed and not assessed on STAAR for mathematics, reading/ELA, and science for the 2021-22 school year. (CWD: children with disability; CWOD: children without disability; EL: English learner)



# Texas Education Agency





		Total students	African American	Hispanic		Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	EL	Students with Disabilities	Students with Disabilities (Section 504)
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Referrals to Law Enforcemen	nt											
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
All Students												
Chronic Absenteeism												
	Male	17	1	3	12	-8	1	-8	-8	5	5	-8
	Female	13	-8	2	5	-8	5	-8	1	8	1	-8
	Total	30	1	5	17	-8	6	-8	1	13	6	-8

Incidents of Violence

Incidents of rape or attempted rape

Total

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

\* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

## Part (xii): Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

This section provides results on the state academic assessments in reading and mathematics in grades 4 and 8 of the 2019 National Assessment of Educational Progress, compared to the national average of such results.

	State Le	vel: 2019 Percentages at N.	AEP	Act	niev	eme	nt Lev	vels		
		6 ow sic	Abo	6 or ove sic	% At Abo Profi	or ove	% A Adva	t		
Grade	Subject	Student Group	ТΧ	US	ТΧ	US	ТΧ	US	ТΧ	US
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	42	37	58	63	30	33	7	ç
	_	Black	51	56	49	44	19	17	3	3
		Hispanic	52	50	48	50	20	21	3	4
		White	26	27	74	73	44	42	10	1
		American Indian	*	57	*	43	*	18	*	
		Asian	8	17	92	83	71	58	31	2
		Pacific Islander	*	50	*	50	*	23	*	
		Two or More Races	28	32	72	68	41	38	8	1
		EcoDis	54	52	46	48	18	19	3	
-		Students with Disabilities	77	73	23	27	7	10	1	
		English Language Learners		67	43	33	16	10	2	
	Mathematics	Overall	22	25	78	75	38	36	8	
		Black	33	45	67	55	21	15	2	
		Hispanic	27	36	73	64	27	22	3	
		White		14	90	86	57	48	13	1
		American Indian		41	*	59	*	22	*	
		Asian		9	97	91	73	63	27	2
		Pacific Islander	*	38	*	62	*	22	*	
		Two or More Races	10	22	90	78	58	38	23	
		EcoDis	31	38	69	62	24	20	3	
		Students with Disabilities	51	56	49	44	18	14	2	
		English Language Learners	31	48	69	52	26	14	3	
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	34	30	66	70	23	31	2	
		Black	45	47	55	53	17	16	1	
		Hispanic	41	39	59	61	16	21	1	
		White	24	22	76	78	30	38	2	
		American Indian	*	45	*	55	*	18	*	
		Asian	8	14	92	86	60	56	11	1
		Pacific Islander	*	35	*	65	*	25	*	
		Two or More Races	28	27	72	73	32	35	5	
		EcoDis	42	42	58	58	16	19	1	
		Students with Disabilities	74	69	26	31	5	7	1	n/



## There is no data for this campus.

#### Part (xiv): Additional Information - Chronic Absenteeism

This section provides information on the Chronic Absenteeism per EDFacts definition: percent of unduplicated number of K - 12 students enrolled in a school for at least 10 days and absent for 10% or more days during the 2020-21 school year. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)

								Two			
								or			
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ		
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	CWD	EL
Chronic Absenteeism Rate	2%	15%	6%	1%	-						