## Part (i): Description of State Accountability System

Part (i)(I) the minimum number of students that the State determines are necessary to be included in each of the subgroups of students for use in the accountability system. The Texas accountability minimum size criteria are 25 tests for assessment related indicators or 25 students for non-assessment related indicators, such as graduation, for any student group, and 10 tests or students for the all student group.

Part (i)(II) the long-term goals and measurements of interim progress for all students and for each of the subgroups of students.

	5	State ESSA	A Goals	5					
							Two		
All Students	African American	Hisnanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander			
Stadents	, unericul	Поратис	Wille	maian	rtsiairi	Blander			

Part (i)(III) the indicators used to meaningfully differentiate all public schools in the State:

- a. Academic Achievement Indicator: STAAR Performance Status (Percent at Meets Grade Level or Above)
- b. Other Academic Indicator for Non-High Schools: STAAR Growth Status
- c. Graduation Rate: Federal Graduation Status
- d. ELP Indicator: English Learner Language Proficiency Status
- e. School Quality or Student Success (SQSS) Indicators: College, Career, and Military Readiness for High Schools and K-12 Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR only for All Other Schools without Annual Graduates

Part (i)(IV) the State's system for meaningfully differentiating all public schools in the State, including -- Tex j  $\mathbb{D}$  dFgfully differentiating all public schools in the State, including --

(bb) the methodology by which the State differentiates all such schools. A weighted average of the accountability indicators will be computed from the number of items meeting targets divided by the number of items evaluated. The weighted average will be scaled to grades A (90-100), B (80-89), C (70-79), D (60-69), and F (0-59) and further used to differentiate all public schools. https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/chapter-4-2022-closing-the-gaps-domain.pdf

(cc) the methodology by which the State differentiates a school as consistently underperforming for any subgroup of students. TEA uses the Closing the Gaps domain to identify campuses that have consistently underperforming student groups. A student group that misses the targets in at least the same three indicators, for three consecutive years, is considered consistently underperforming. Any campus not identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) that has at least one consistently underperforming student group is identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI). TSI identifies both Title I and non-Title I campuses. Data from 2018, 2019, and 2022 are considered consecutive years for 2022 TSI identification.

(dd) the methodology by which the State identifies a school for comprehensive support and improvement. Texas annually ranks all Title I campuses based on Closing the Gaps scaled scores. Beginning August 2022, TEA also evaluates overall scaled scores to make final comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) determinations. Title I campuses with both the lowest Closing the Gaps and lowest overall scaled scores are identified for CSI. First, Texas determines the bottom five percent of Closing the Gaps outcomes by rank ordering the scaled scores of Title I campuses by school type - elementary, middle, high school/ K - 12, and alternative education accountability. Texas then determines which campuses fell in the bottom five percent for each school type. Next, TEA rank orders the overall scaled scores for all Title I campuses statewide (without regard to campus type) to determine the scaled score cut point necessary to identify at least five percent of Title I campuses. Title I campuses with an overall scaled score cut point at or below the overall cut point and that rank in their school types bottom five percent are identified for CSI.

Part (i)(V) the number and names of all public schools in the State identified by the State for comprehensive support and improvement or implementing targeted support and improvement plans. Campuses Identified for Support under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) for the 2022-2023 school year: Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools Targeted Support and Improvement Schools and Additional Targeted Support Schools.

Part (i)(VI) the exit criteria established by the State, including the length of years established. Campuses that do not rank in their school types bottom five percent of the Closing the Gaps domain for two consecutive years and have an overall scaled score that year that does not fall within the lowest a graduatic a graduatic and graduation rate of at least 67 percent for two consecutive years to exit CSI status.

Part (ii): S \_\_nt by Prot

This sectio ation on student acremathemati and science by grade regardless are were in the accountability

STAAR (State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness performance for ency level for the 2021-22 school year. These results include all students tested, D: children with disability; CWOD: children without disability; EL: English learner)

African
American Hispanic White \_\_\_\_\_Asian Islander

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	CWD	EL
Student Success (Student A											
STAAR Component Score	19	24	18	18	*	21	*	40			



Total students	African American	Hispanic	Texas Educ	ation Ager	ncy		

Texas Education Agency

### Part (ix): Teacher Quality Data

This section provides information on the professional qualifications of teachers, including information disaggregated by high- and low-poverty schools on the number and percentage of (I) inexperienced teacher, principals, and other school leaders; (II) teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials; and (III) teachers who are not teaching in the subject or field for which the teacher is certified or licensed.

High Poverty School		
	All So	chool
	Number	Percent
Inexperienced Teachers, Principals, and Other School Leaders	8.1	14.4%
Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	3.0	5.8%
Teacher Who Are Not Teaching in the Subject or Field for Which the Teacher is Certified or Licensed	11.9	23.2%

Indicates there are no data available in the group.
 Blank cell indicates there are no data available in the group.

## Part (x): Per-pupil Expenditure

This section provides information on the per-pupil expenditures of federal, state, and local funds, including actual personnel expenditures and actual non-personnel expenditures, disaggregated by source of funds, for each school district and campus for the preceding fiscal year.

To be updated by June 30th, 2023.

# Part (xi): STAAR Alternate 2 Participation

This section provides information on the number and percentage of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who take STAAR Alternate 2, by grade and subject for the 2021-22 school year.

	State Number of ALT2			Rate of	Campus Number of ALT2	Rate of
Grade 3						
Reading	6,584	2%	98	2%	-	-
Mathematics	6,587	2%	97	2%	-	-
Grade 4						
Reading	6,404	2%	87	2%	-	-
Mathematics	6,408	2%	87	2%	-	-
Grade 5						
Reading	6,204	2%	102	2%	-	-
Mathematics	6,205	2%	101	2%	-	-
Science	6,200	2%	101	2%	-	-
Grade 6						
Reading	6,181	2%	82	2%	*	1%
Mathematics	6,177	2%	82	2%	*	1%

		State				
	State	Rate			Campus	
	Number of ALT2		of ALT2		Number of ALT2	Rate of ALT2
Grade 7	UIALIZ	ALIZ	UIALIZ	ALIZ	UIALIZ	ALIZ
Grade /						
Reading	6,130	1%	89	2%	5	2%
Mathematics	6,120	2%	89	2%	5	3%
Grade 8						
Reading	5,794	1%	83	2%	5	2%
Mathematics	5,803	2%	83	2%	5	2%
Science	5,796	1%	83	2%	5	2%
End of Course						
English I	6,009	1%	70	1%	-	-
English II	5,490	1%	85	1%	-	-
Algebra I	5,993	1%	62	1%	-	-
Biology	5,860	1%	79	1%	-	-
All Grades						
All Subjects	109,954	1%	1,560	1%	31	2%
Reading	48,805	1%				



