Part (i)(III) the indicators used to meaningfully differentiate all public schools in the State:

- a. Academic Achievement Indicator: STAAR Performance Status (Percent at Meets Grade Level or Above)
- b. Other Academic Indicator for Non-High Schools: STAAR Growth Status
- c. Graduation Rate: Federal Graduation Status
- d. ELP Indicator: English Learner Language Proficiency Status
- e. School Quality or Student Success (SQSS) Indicators: College, Career, and Military Readiness for High Schools and K-12 Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR only for All Other Schools without Annual Graduates

Part (i)(IV) the State's system for meaningfully differentiating all public schools in the State, including -- (aa) the specific weight of the indicators in such differentiation

Campus Type	Indicator	Weight
Elementary and Middle Schools	Academic Achievement	30%
	Other Academic Indicator	50%
	English Learner Language Proficiency	10%
	SQSS: Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only	10%
	,	

(bb) the methodology by which the State differentiates all such schools. A weighted average of the accountability indicators will be computed from the number of items meeting targets divided by the number of items evaluated. The weighted average will be scaled to grades A (90-100), B (80-89), C (70-79), D (60-69), and F (0-59) and further used to differentiate all public schools. https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/chapter-4-2022-closing-the-gaps-domain.pdf

(cc) the methodology by which the State differentiates a school as consistently underperforming for any subgroup of students. TEA uses the Closing the Gaps domain to identify campuses that have consistently underperforming student groups. A student group that misses the targets in at least the same three indicators, for three consecutive years, is considered consistently underperforming. Any campus not identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) that has at least one consistently underperforming student group is identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI). TSI identifies both Title I and non-Title I campuses. Data from 2018, 2019, and 2022 are considered consecutive years for 2022 TSI identification.

(dd) the methodology by which the State identifies a school for comprehensive support and improvement. Texas annually ranks all Title I campuses based on Closing the Gaps scaled scores. Beginning August 2022, TEA also evaluates overall scaled scores to make final corfj@refrees3v&Gu@abrTargeterprovement (CSI) determinations. Title I campuses with both the lowest Closing the Gaps and lowest overall scaled scores are identified for CSI. First, Texas determines the bottom five percent of Closing the Gaps outcomes by rank ordering the scaled scores of Title I campuses by school type - elementary, middle, high school/ K - 12, and alternative education accountability. Texas then determines which campuses fell in the bottom five percent for each school type. Next, TEA rank orders the overall scaled scores for all Title I campuses statewide (without regard to campus type) to determine the scaled score cut point necessary to identify at least five percent of Title I campuses. Title I campuses with an overall scaled score cut point at or below the overall cut point and that rank in their school types bottom five percent are identified for CSI.

Part (i)(V) the number and names of all public schools in the State identified by the State for comprehensive support and improvement or implementing targeted support and improvement plans. Campuses Identified for Support under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) for the 2022-2023 schools are schools and Improvement Schools and Improvement Schools and Additional Targeted Support Schools cut point at or below the overall cut point and that rank in their school types

									Two	
									or	
			African			American		Pacific	More	
State	District	Campus	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	

			African		American
State	District	Campus	American	White	Indian

Total EL in	Proficiency	Rate of
Class	of EL	Proficiency
141	35	25%

- Indicates there are no students in the group.
- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- Indicates data reporting does not meet for Minimum Size.

Part (v): School Quality or Student Success (SQSS)

This section provides information on the other indicators of school quality or student success, which is college, career and military readiness (CCMR) for high schools and average performance rate of the three STAAR performance levels of all students, regardless of whether they were in the accountability subset, for elementary and secondary schools without a graduation rate. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ		
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	CWD	EL
Student Success (Student A	Achieveme	ent Domain	Score: S	TAAR C	Component	Only)					
STAAR Component Score	59	35	47	66	*	66	73	69	37	29	32
School Quality (College, Career, and Military											

Only)

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ		EL
		American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander			CWD	+
Target Met	Y	N	N	Υ		N		Υ	N	N	N
Mathematics											
Interim Goals (2018-2022)	46%	31%	40%	59%	45%	82%	50%	54%	36%	23%	40%
Target Met	Υ	N	N	Υ		N		N	N	N	N
Interim Goals (2023-2027)	54%	41%	49%	65%	53%	85%	57%	61%	45%	34%	49%
Target Met	N	N	N	N		N		N	N	N	N
Interim Goals (2028-2032)	63%	54%	59%	73%	63%	88%	66%	69%	57%	48%	59%
Target Met	N	N	N	N		N		N	N	N	N
Long-Term Goals	73%	66%	70%	80%	73%	91%	75%	77%	68%	62%	70%
Target Met	N	N	N	N		N		N	N	N	N
English Learner Language	Proficiend	cy Status									
Interim Goals (2018-2022)											36%
Target Met											Υ
Interim Goals (2023-2027)											38%
Target Met											N
Interim Goals (2028-2032)											40%
Target Met											N
Long-Term Goals											40%
Target Met											N
Federal Graduation Status	€										
Interim Goals (2018-2022)	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Target Met	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ	Υ	
Interim Goals (2023-2027)	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%	92%
Target Met	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ	N	
Interim Goals (2028-2032)	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%
Target Met	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ	N	
Long-Term Goals	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%	94%
Target Met	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ	N	

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

+

	State Number of ALT2	of	District	Rate of	Campus Number of ALT2	Campus Rate of ALT2
Grade 3						
Reading	6,584	2%	98	2%	_	-
Mathematics	6,587	2%				

	State Le	vel: 2019 Percentages at N	AEP	Acl	niev	eme	nt Lev	/els				
			Bel	6 low sic	At Ab	% or ove sic	At Abo Profi	or ove	% A Adva	.t		
Grade	Subject	Student Group	TX	US	TX	US	TX	US	TX	US		
Grade 8	Mathematics	Asian	10	14	90	86	57	58	27	27		
	Pacific Islander	*	50	*	50	*	17	*	5			
		Two or More Races	26	37	74	63	30	28	3	7		
		EcoDis	49	54	51	46	15	13	2	2		
		Students with Disabilities	81	77	19	23	4	5	n/a	E	nglish Language l	
		English Language Learners	60									

This section provides information on the Chronic Absenteeism per EDFacts definition: percent of unduplicated number of K - 12 students enrolled in a school for at least 10 days and absent for 10% or more days during the 2020-21 school year. (CWD: children with disability; EL: English learner)

								Two			
								or			
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ		
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	CWD	EL
Chronic Absenteeism Rate	4%	13%	7%	2%	*	2%	*	2%	10%	10%	14%

⁻ Indicates there are no students in the group.

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.